

# The structure of Frobenius algebras and separable algebras

S. Caenepeel  
Faculty of Applied Sciences  
Free University of Brussels, VUB  
Pleinlaan 2  
B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

Bogdan Ion  
Department of Mathematics  
Princeton University  
Fine Hall, Washington Road  
Princeton, NJ 08544-1000, USA

G. Militaru\*  
Faculty of Mathematics  
University of Bucharest  
Str. Academiei 14  
RO-70109 Bucharest 1, Romania

## Abstract

We present a unified approach to the study of separable and Frobenius algebras. The crucial observation is that both types of algebras are related to the nonlinear equation  $R^{12}R^{23} = R^{23}R^{13} = R^{13}R^{12}$ , called the FS-equation. Solutions of the FS-equation automatically satisfy the braid equation, an equation that is in a sense equivalent to the quantum Yang-Baxter equation. Given a solution to the FS-equation satisfying a certain normalizing condition, we can construct a Frobenius algebra or a separable algebra  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  - the normalizing condition is different in both cases. The main result of this paper is the structure of these two fundamental types of algebras: a finitely generated projective Frobenius or separable  $k$ -algebra  $A$  is isomorphic to such an  $\mathcal{A}(R)$ . If  $A$  is a free  $k$ -algebra, then  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  can be described using generators and relations. A new characterization of Frobenius extensions is given:  $B \subset A$  is Frobenius if and only if  $A$  has a  $B$ -coring structure  $(A, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  such that the comultiplication  $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes_B A$  is an  $A$ -bimodule map.

## 0 Introduction

Frobenius extensions in noncommutative ring theory have been introduced by Kasch [31], as generalizations of the classical notion of Frobenius algebras over a field (see also [42], [43], [46]). The notion of Frobenius extension has turned out to be a fundamental one, and many generalizations have appeared in the literature. Roughly stated, an object  $\mathcal{O}$  satisfies a “Frobenius-type” property if two conditions hold: a “finiteness” condition (for example finite dimensionality) and a “symmetry” condition (for example  $\mathcal{O}$  has an isomorphic dual  $\mathcal{O}^*$ ).  $\mathcal{O}$  can be an algebra ([17]), a coalgebra ([18]), a Lie algebra ([20]), a Hopf algebra ([45]) or, in the most general case, a functor between two categories ([14]). In fact an object  $\mathcal{O}$  over a field  $k$  is Frobenius if the forgetful functor  $F : {}_{\mathcal{O}}\text{Rep} \rightarrow {}_k\mathcal{M}$  from the category of representations of  $\mathcal{O}$  to vector spaces is Frobenius, and this means that  $F$  has a left adjoint which is also a right adjoint.

In recent years, many important new results about “Frobenius objects” came about (see [2]-[5], [7]-[10], [11], [14], [24], [25], [29]). Let us mention a few of them, illustrating the importance of the concept. The homology of a compact oriented manifold is a Frobenius algebra. In [2] (see also [5]), it is shown that there

---

\*Research supported by the bilateral project “Hopf algebras and co-Galois theory” of the Flemish and Romanian governments

is a one-to-one correspondence between two-dimensional topological quantum field theories and Frobenius algebras. Frobenius extensions also appear in quantum cohomology, where they provide a generalization of the classical Euler class ([3]). In [29] the Jones polynomial is constructed starting from certain separable Frobenius ring extensions, instead of starting from type  $II_1$  subfactors as in the original work of Jones. In [20] Lie quasi-Frobenius algebras are studied in connection to the classical Yang-Baxter equation, while Frobenius algebras appear in the study of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation ([9]). In [21] the concept of Frobenius manifold is introduced and discussed: a manifold  $M$  is Frobenius if each fiber of the tangent bundle  $TM$  has a Frobenius algebra structure. Frobenius functors were introduced in [14]. The definition is based on the long-standing observation that a ring extension  $B \subseteq A$  is Frobenius if and only if the restriction of scalars functor  ${}_A\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}_B\mathcal{M}$  has isomorphic left and right adjoint functors ([37], [41]). Theorem 4.2 in [14] states that the forgetful functor from the category of Yetter-Drinfel'd modules over a Hopf algebra  $H$  to the category of  $H$ -modules is Frobenius if and only if  $H$  is finite dimensional and unimodular. This can be viewed as the “quantum version” of the classical result that any finite dimensional Hopf algebra is Frobenius. We remark that, in this situation, the symmetry condition that we mentioned earlier comes down to unimodularity of  $H$ .

Another fundamental concept in the theory of (non)commutative rings is that of separable extensions, we refer to [19] for a detailed discussion. In [44], the notion of separable functor is introduced, and, as we have explained in [15], the existing versions of Maschke’s Theorem come down to proving that a certain functor is separable: an object  $\mathcal{O}$  is semisimple (or reducible) if and only if the forgetful functor  $F : {}_{\mathcal{O}}\text{Rep} \rightarrow {}_k\mathcal{M}$ , is separable. For example, we can show that the above mentioned forgetful functor from Yetter-Drinfel'd modules to  $H$ -modules is separable if and only if there exists a so-called quantum integral, this is a map with certain properties (see [15, Theorem 3.13]). In [12], separable functors are applied to the category of representations of an entwined structure, introduced in [13].

In this paper we study Frobenius algebras and separable algebras from a unifying point of view. In order to do this, we focus attention to what we have called the FS-equation (or Frobenius-separability equation), namely

$$R^{12}R^{23} = R^{23}R^{13} = R^{13}R^{12} \quad (1)$$

where  $A$  is an algebra over a commutative ring  $k$  and  $R \in A \otimes A$ . Solutions of (1) automatically satisfy the *braid equation*:  $R^{12}R^{23}R^{12} = R^{23}R^{12}R^{23}$ . Also observe that, in the case where  $A = \text{End}_k(M)$ ,  $R$  is a solution of the braid equation if and only if  $\tau \circ R$  (or, equivalently,  $R \circ \tau$ ) is a solution of the *quantum Yang-Baxter equation*  $R^{12}R^{13}R^{23} = R^{23}R^{13}R^{12}$ .

Let  $A$  be an algebra with unit, and  $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$  an  $A$ -bimodule map. Then  $R = \Delta(1)$  is a solution of (1). It is well-known that  $A$  is separable if such a  $\Delta$  exists, with a certain normalizing condition. Moreover, as shown recently in [4],  $A$  is Frobenius if there exists a map  $\Delta$  as above, together with a counit map  $\varepsilon : A \rightarrow k$ , satisfying a different normalizing condition. So both the Frobenius property and separability are related to solutions of the FS-equation, and this explains our terminology. We will call the two different normalizing conditions the normalizing separability condition and the normalizing Frobenius condition. Given a separable or Frobenius algebra, we find a solution of the FS-equation, satisfying one of the two normalizing conditions.

The organisation of this paper is the following. In Section 2, we generalize a result of Abrams (see [4, Theorem 2.1]) stating that a finite dimensional algebra  $A$  over a field  $k$  is Frobenius if and only if there exists a coalgebra structure on  $A$  such that the comultiplication is a bimodule map. Based on the concept of Frobenius functors and drawing on the Tannaka-Krein duality theory (see [28], [36]), we can generalize this result to arbitrary ring extensions (see Theorem 2.4). In Proposition 2.7, we give a criterion for the separability of Frobenius extensions. Using duality arguments, we obtain similar results for coalgebras.

In Section 3, we present a survey of solutions of the FS-equation. Since solutions of the FS-equation are also solutions of the braid equation, they can be transformed into solutions of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation,

leading to interesting two-dimensional solvable integrable models in statistical mechanics and quantum field theory (cf. [6], [23], [26]). We will give three new classes of solutions: in Proposition 3.8, we construct solutions starting from a Sweedler two-cocycle  $\sigma : H \otimes H \rightarrow k$ , and we give explicit examples in the case where  $H$  is a finite group ring. In Proposition 3.15 we construct a solution for the  $FS$ -equation starting from a map  $\theta : \{1, \dots, n\}^3 \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$  satisfying certain properties. In Proposition 3.15 a solution of the  $FS$ -equation is associated to any idempotent function  $\phi : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Section 4 contains our main result: given a solution of the  $FS$ -equation, we can construct an algebra  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  and a bimodule map  $\Delta$  such that  $R = \Delta(1)$ . If  $R$  satisfies one of the two normalizing conditions, then  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  is separable, resp. Frobenius (see Theorem 4.1). Moreover, a finitely generated projective  $k$ -algebra  $A$  is separable or Frobenius, if it is isomorphic to an algebra  $\mathcal{A}(R)$ , with  $R$  a solution of the  $FS$ -equation satisfying the appropriate normalizing condition (see Theorem 4.3). In the case where  $A$  is free of finite rank,  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  can be described using generators and relations. Duality arguments yield similar results about the structure of co-Frobenius and coseparable coalgebras.

In Section 5, we introduce the category  ${}_A\mathcal{FS}^A$  of so-called  $FS$ -objects. These  $FS$ -objects can be compared to Yetter-Drinfel'd modules in the situation where one works with the braid equation rather than the  $FS$ -equation. An interesting aspect of this category is that it measures in a certain sense how far an algebra that is also a coalgebra is different from a bialgebra: over a bialgebra, the category of  $FS$ -objects happens to be just the category of  $k$ -modules. Another property of the category  ${}_A\mathcal{FS}^A$  is that it is isomorphic with the category of left  $A$ -modules when  $A$  has a unit, respectively with the category of right  $A$ -comodules when  $A$  has a counit. As an immediate consequence we obtain [4, Theorem 3.3]: for a Frobenius algebra  $A$ , the categories  ${}_A\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{M}^A$  are isomorphic.

## 1 Preliminaries

Let  $k$  be a commutative ring. All modules, algebras or coalgebras are assumed to be over  $k$  and  $\otimes = \otimes_k$ . For the comultiplication  $\Delta$  on a  $k$ -coalgebra  $C$ , we will use Sweedler's notation, that is

$$\Delta(c) = \sum c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)} \in C \otimes C$$

for any  $c \in C$ . If  $M$  is a right  $C$ -comodule, then we will say that  $C$  coacts on  $M$ , and use the notation

$$\rho_M(m) = \sum m_{<0>} \otimes m_{<1>} \in M \otimes C.$$

$\mathcal{M}^C$  will be the category of right  $C$ -comodules and  $C$ -colinear maps. Let  $(A, m_A, 1_A)$  be a  $k$ -algebra with associative multiplication  $m_A : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$  and unit  $1_A$ . All the algebras that we will consider in Sections 1-4 are associative with unit.  ${}_A\mathcal{M}$  will be the category of left  $A$ -modules and  $A$ -linear maps over the  $k$ -algebra  $A$ .

### 1.1 Separable algebras and Frobenius algebras

For a  $k$ -module  $M$ ,  $I_M : M \rightarrow M$  and  $\tau_M : M \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes M$  will denote respectively the identity map on  $M$ , and the switch map, mapping  $m \otimes n$  to  $n \otimes m$ .

For a linear map  $R : M \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes M$ , we will consider the maps

$$R^{12}, R^{13}, R^{23} : M \otimes M \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes M \otimes M$$

defined by the formulas

$$R^{12} = R \otimes I, \quad R^{23} = I \otimes R, \quad R^{13} = (I \otimes \tau)(R \otimes I)(I \otimes \tau)$$

A similar notation will be used for elements  $e \in A \otimes A$ , where  $A$  is a  $k$ -algebra: if  $e = \sum e^1 \otimes e^2$ , then

$$e^{12} = \sum e^1 \otimes e^2 \otimes 1 ; e^{13} = \sum e^1 \otimes 1 \otimes e^2 ; e^{23} = \sum 1 \otimes e^1 \otimes e^2$$

A sum over an empty family will be assumed to be zero:

$$\sum_{i \in \emptyset} a_i = 0.$$

Let  $(A, m_A, 1_A)$  be a  $k$ -algebra with unit. Then  $A \otimes A$  is an  $A$ -bimodule with the natural actions

$$a \cdot (b \otimes c) \cdot d := ab \otimes cd$$

for all  $a, b, c, d \in A$ . An element  $e = \sum e^1 \otimes e^2 \in A \otimes A$  will be called  $A$ -central if for any  $a \in A$  we have

$$a \cdot e = e \cdot a \quad (2)$$

Let  $B \subset A$  be an arbitrary ring extension. Recall from [19] that  $A/B$  is called separable if there exists a separability idempotent, that is an  $A$ -central element  $e = \sum e^1 \otimes_B e^2 \in A \otimes_B A$  satisfying the normalizing separability condition

$$\sum e^1 e^2 = 1 \quad (3)$$

**Remark 1.1** If  $B \subset A$  is a separable extension, then  $A/B$  is a left (right) semisimple extension in the sense of [27]: this means that any left  $A$ -submodule  $W$  of a left  $A$ -module  $V$  having a  $B$ -complement in  $V$ , has an  $A$ -complement in  $V$ . Indeed, let  $e = \sum e^1 \otimes_B e^2 \in A \otimes_B A$  be a separability idempotent and  $f : V \rightarrow W$  be a left  $B$ -module map splitting the inclusion  $W \subset V$ . Then

$$\tilde{f} : V \rightarrow W, \quad \tilde{f}(v) := \sum e^1 f(e^2 v)$$

for all  $v \in V$ , is a left  $A$ -module map splitting the inclusion  $W \subset V$ .

Observe that the deformation  $f \rightarrow \tilde{f}$  is functorial. As we have explained in [15], this is what happens in almost all generalizations of Maschke's Theorem that have appeared in the literature: one constructs a deformation of a map, and this deformation turns out to be functorial.

Let  $B \subset A$  be a ring extension. Then  $\text{Hom}_B(A, B)$  is an  $(A, B)$ -bimodule with left  $A$ -action and right  $B$ -action given by the formulas

$$(a \cdot f \cdot b)(x) = f(xa)b$$

for all  $a, x \in A, b \in B$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}_B(A, B)$ . From [42], we recall the following

**Definition 1.2** Let  $B \subset A$  be a ring extension. Then  $A/B$  is called a Frobenius extension (or, a Frobenius extension of the first kind) if the following two conditions hold:

- 1)  ${}_B A$  is finitely generated and projective in  ${}_B \mathcal{M}$ ;
- 2) there exists an isomorphism

$$\varphi : A \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(A, B)$$

of  $(A, B)$ -bimodules.

The concept of Frobenius extension is left-right symmetric: that is any left Frobenius extension is also a right Frobenius extension (see [42, Proposition 1]). Of course, for  $B = k$  we obtain the classical concept of Frobenius algebra: a  $k$ -algebra  $A$  is Frobenius if  $A$  is finitely generated and projective as a  $k$ -module, and  $A \cong A^*$  as left  $A$ -modules.

A  $k$ -coalgebra  $C$  is called coseparable ([34]) if there exists a coseparability idempotent, that is a  $k$ -linear map  $\sigma : C \otimes C \rightarrow k$  such that

$$\sum \sigma(c \otimes d_{(1)}) d_{(2)} = \sum \sigma(c_{(2)} \otimes d) c_{(1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum \sigma(c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}) = \varepsilon(c)$$

for all  $c, d \in C$ .

## 1.2 Matrix algebras and comatrix coalgebras

Let  $M$  be a free  $k$ -module, with basis  $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$ , and  $\{p^1, \dots, p^n\}$  the corresponding dual basis of the dual module  $M^*$ , such that

$$\langle p^i, m_j \rangle = \delta_j^i \quad (4)$$

for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .  $\delta_j^i$  is the Kronecker symbol. Then  $\{e_j^i = p^i \otimes m_j \mid i, j = 1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\{c_j^i = m_j \otimes p^i \mid i, j = 1, \dots, n\}$  are free basis for respectively  $\text{End}_k(M) \cong M^* \otimes M$  and  $\text{End}_k(M^*) \cong M \otimes M^*$ . The isomorphisms are given by the rules

$$e_j^i(m_k) = \delta_k^i m_j \quad \text{and} \quad c_j^i(p^k) = \delta_j^k p^i \quad (5)$$

$\text{End}_k(M) \cong M^* \otimes M$  is isomorphic to the  $n \times n$ -matrix algebra  $\mathcal{M}_n(k)$ , and the multiplication in  $M^* \otimes M$  is given by the rules

$$e_j^i e_l^k = \delta_l^i e_j^k \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^i = 1 \quad (6)$$

$\text{End}_k(M^*) \cong M \otimes M^*$  is isomorphic to the  $n \times n$ -comatrix coalgebra  $\mathcal{M}^n(k)$ , and the comultiplication on  $M \otimes M^*$  is given by

$$\Delta(c_j^i) = \sum_k c_k^i \otimes c_j^k \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon(c_j^i) = \delta_j^i \quad (7)$$

We obtain the matrix algebra  $\mathcal{M}_n(k)$  and the comatrix coalgebra  $\mathcal{M}^n(k)$  after we take  $M = k^n$  and the canonical basis.

A linear map  $R : M \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes M$  can be described by a matrix  $X$ , with  $n^4$  entries  $x_{uv}^{ij} \in k$ , where  $i, j, u, v$  range from 1 to  $n$ . This means

$$R(m_u \otimes m_v) = \sum_{ij} x_{uv}^{ij} m_i \otimes m_j \quad (8)$$

or

$$R = \sum_{ijuv} x_{uv}^{ij} e_i^u \otimes e_j^v \quad (9)$$

## 2 Frobenius functors

In [4, Theorem 2.1], L. Abrams gives an interesting characterization of Frobenius algebras. Let  $k$  be a field and  $A$  a finite dimensional  $k$ -algebra. Then  $A$  is Frobenius if and only if there exists a coalgebra structure  $(A, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  on  $A$  such that the comultiplication  $\Delta$  is an  $A$ -bimodule map. The coalgebra structure which arises on a Frobenius algebra can be briefly described as follows: let  $A$  be a Frobenius algebra and  $\varphi : A \rightarrow A^*$  be a left  $A$ -module isomorphism. Let  $(A^*, m_{A^*}, 1_{A^*})$  be the unique algebra structure on  $A^*$  such that  $\varphi$  becomes an isomorphism of algebras. Using the usual duality between the categories of finite dimensional algebras and finite dimensional coalgebras (see [1]), we obtain that  $A$  has a unique coalgebra structure such that  $\mathcal{M}^A \cong_{A^*} \mathcal{M} \cong_A \mathcal{M}$ . In particular, [4, Theorem 3.3] follows. In this Section, we will generalize this result to arbitrary ring extensions  $B \subset A$ . The methods of [4] do not work in this case; our approach is based on the notion of Frobenius functor, as introduced in [14]. Our construction of the comultiplication  $\Delta$  and the counit  $\varepsilon$  associated to a Frobenius extension is inspired by the Tannaka-Krein duality theory (see [28], [36]). We begin with the following key result.

**Proposition 2.1** Let  $(A, m_A, 1_A)$  be a  $k$ -algebra,  $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$  an  $A$ -bimodule map and  $e = \Delta(1_A)$ .  
1) In  $A \otimes A \otimes A$ , we have the equality

$$e^{12}e^{23} = e^{23}e^{13} = e^{13}e^{12} \quad (10)$$

2)  $\Delta$  is coassociative;

3) If  $(A, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  is a coalgebra structure on  $A$ , then  $A$  is finitely generated and projective as a  $k$ -module.

*Proof.* 1) From the fact that  $\Delta$  is an  $A$ -bimodule map, it follows immediately that  $e$  is  $A$ -central. Write  $E = \sum E^1 \otimes E^2 = e$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} e^{12}e^{23} &= \sum (e^1 \otimes e^2 \otimes 1)(1 \otimes E^1 \otimes E^2) \\ &= \sum \underline{e^1 \otimes e^2 E^1} \otimes E^2 \\ \text{(using (2))} &= \sum E^1 e^1 \otimes e^2 \otimes E^2 = e^{13}e^{12} \\ \text{and} \\ e^{12}e^{23} &= \sum e^1 \otimes \underline{e^2 E^1 \otimes E^2} \\ \text{(using (2))} &= \sum e^1 \otimes E^1 \otimes E^2 e^2 = e^{23}e^{13}. \end{aligned}$$

2) follows from 1) and the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta \otimes I)\Delta(a) &= e^{12}e^{23} \cdot (1_A \otimes 1_A \otimes a) \\ (I \otimes \Delta)\Delta(a) &= e^{23}e^{13} \cdot (1_A \otimes 1_A \otimes a) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a \in A$ .

3) Let  $a \in A$ . Applying  $\varepsilon \otimes I_A$  and  $I_A \otimes \varepsilon$  to (2), we obtain, using the fact that  $\varepsilon$  is a counit map,

$$a = \sum \varepsilon(ae^1)e^2 = \sum e^1\varepsilon(e^2a)$$

and it follows that  $\{e^1, \varepsilon(e^2 \bullet)\}$  (or  $\{e^2, \varepsilon(\bullet e^1)\}$ ) are dual bases of  $A$  as a  $k$ -module.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be two categories, and let

$$\mathcal{C} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \xleftarrow{G} \end{array} \mathcal{D},$$

be two covariant functors. Observe that we have four covariant functors

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(G(\bullet), \bullet) : \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Sets}}, \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\bullet, F(\bullet)) : \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Sets}},$$

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\bullet, G(\bullet)) : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Sets}} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(\bullet), \bullet) : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Sets}}.$$

Recall that  $G$  is a left adjoint for  $F$  if  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(G(\bullet), \bullet)$  and  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\bullet, F(\bullet))$  are isomorphic.  $G$  is a right adjoint for  $F$  if the functors  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\bullet, G(\bullet))$  and  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(\bullet), \bullet)$  are isomorphic.

**Definition 2.2** ([14]) A covariant functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is called a Frobenius functor if it has isomorphic left and right adjoints.

**Remark 2.3** Let us explain the terminology. Take an arbitrary ring extension  $B \subset A$  and let

$$F : {}_A\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}_B\mathcal{M}$$

be the restriction of scalars functor. Then  $F$  has a left adjoint, the induction functor,

$$G = A \otimes_B \bullet : {}_B\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}_A\mathcal{M}$$

and a right adjoint, the coinduction functor,

$$T = \text{Hom}_B(A, \bullet) : {}_B\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}_A\mathcal{M}$$

The left  $A$ -module structure on  $T(N) = \text{Hom}_B(A, N)$  is given by  $(a \cdot \gamma)(b) = \gamma(ba)$ , for all  $a, b \in A$  and  $\gamma \in \text{Hom}_B(A, N)$ . For a left  $B$ -module map  $F$ , we put  $T(f) = f \circ \bullet$ .

The restriction of scalars functor  $F : {}_A\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}_B\mathcal{M}$  is a Frobenius functor if and only if the extension  $B \subset A$  is Frobenius. Indeed, the adjoint of a functor is unique upto isomorphism (see [30]). Hence,  $F$  is a Frobenius functor if and only if the induction functor  $G$  is isomorphic to the coinduction functor  $T$ . This is equivalent (cf. [41, Theorem 2.1], [37, Theorem 3.15]) to the fact that  $B \subset A$  is a Frobenius extension. For a further study of Frobenius functors we refer to [16].

In the next Theorem,  $B \subset A$  will be an arbitrary ring extension. The multiplication  $m_A : A \times A \rightarrow A$  is then  $B$ -balanced, which means that it induces a map  $A \otimes_B A \rightarrow A$ , which is also denoted by  $m_A$ .

**Theorem 2.4** *Let  $B \subset A$  be an arbitrary ring extension. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- 1) *the restriction of scalars functor  $F : {}_A\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}_B\mathcal{M}$  is Frobenius (or, equivalently,  $B \subset A$  is a Frobenius extension);*
- 2) *there exists a pair  $(\Delta, \varepsilon)$  such that*
  - (a)  *$\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes_B A$  is an  $A$ -bimodule map;*
  - (b)  *$\varepsilon : A \rightarrow B$  is a  $B$ -bimodule map, and a counit for  $\Delta$ , that is*

$$(I_A \otimes_B \varepsilon)\Delta = (\varepsilon \otimes_B I_A)\Delta = I_A.$$

*Proof.* Let  $G, T : {}_B\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}_A\mathcal{M}$  be as above.

1)  $\Rightarrow$  2). Assume that  $G$  and  $T$  are isomorphic and let  $\phi : G = A \otimes_B \bullet \rightarrow T = \text{Hom}_B(A, \bullet)$  be a natural transformation, defining an isomorphism of functors. We then have the following isomorphisms of left  $A$ -modules:

$$\phi_A : A \otimes_B A \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(A, A) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_B : A(\cong A \otimes_B B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(A, B)$$

For  $a \in A$ , we define  $\varphi_a : A \rightarrow A$  by  $\varphi_a(b) = ba$ . Then the map  $\varphi : A \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(A, A)$  mapping  $a$  to  $\varphi_a$  is left  $A$ -linear. Now take

$$\Delta = \phi_A^{-1} \circ \varphi \quad (\text{i.e. } \Delta(a) = \phi_A^{-1}(\varphi_a)) \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon = \phi_B(1_A)$$

$\Delta$  is left  $A$ -linear, because  $\phi_A^{-1}$  and  $\varphi$  are left  $A$ -linear.  $\phi$  is a natural transformation, so we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_B(A, A) & \xrightarrow{\phi_A^{-1}} & A \otimes_B A \\ \varphi_a \circ \bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow I_A \otimes \varphi_a \\ \text{Hom}_B(A, A) & \xrightarrow{\phi_A^{-1}} & A \otimes_B A \end{array}$$

for any  $a \in A$ . Applying the diagram to the identity map  $I_A = \varphi_1$ , we find that  $\Delta(a) = \Delta(1)a$ , and  $\Delta$  is also right  $A$ -linear.

By construction,  $\varepsilon$  is left  $B$ -linear. We will now prove that  $\varepsilon$  is also right  $B$ -linear, and a counit for  $\Delta$ . Take  $N \in {}_B\mathcal{M}$  and  $n \in N$ , and consider the unique left  $B$ -linear map  $\varphi_n : B \rightarrow N$  mapping  $1_B$  to  $n$ .  $\phi$  is a natural transformation, so we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes_B B & \xrightarrow{\phi_B} & \text{Hom}_B(A, B) \\ I_A \otimes \varphi_n \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_n \circ \bullet \\ A \otimes_B N & \xrightarrow{\phi_N} & \text{Hom}_B(A, N) \end{array}$$

and it follows that  $\phi_N(1_A \otimes_B n) = \varphi_n \circ \varepsilon$  and

$$\phi_N(1_A \otimes_B n)(a) = \varepsilon(a)n$$

Using the left  $A$ -linearity of  $\phi_N$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_N(a \otimes_B n)(b) &= \phi_N(a \cdot (1_A \otimes_B n))(b) \\ &= (a \cdot \phi_N(1_A \otimes_B n))(b) \\ &= \phi_N(1_A \otimes_B n)(ba) \\ &= \varepsilon(ba)n. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

for all  $a, b \in A$  and  $n \in N$ . In particular, for  $N = B$ ,  $b = 1$  and  $n = \beta \in B$  we obtain that

$$\phi_B(a\beta \otimes_B 1)(1) = \varepsilon(a)\beta.$$

Hence,

$$\varepsilon(a\beta) = (a\beta \cdot \varepsilon)(1) = (a\beta \cdot \phi_B(1_A \otimes 1_B))(1) = \phi_B(a\beta \otimes 1_B)(1) = \varepsilon(a)\beta,$$

and  $\varepsilon$  is also right  $B$ -linear.

Applying (11) with  $a \otimes_B n$  replaced by  $\Delta(1_A) = \sum e^1 \otimes_B e^2$ , and  $b$  by  $1_A$ , we find

$$\phi_A(\sum e^1 \otimes_B e^2)(1_A) = \sum \varepsilon(e^1)e^2.$$

On the other hand,

$$\phi_A(\Delta(1_A))(1_A) = \varphi_1(1_A) = I_A(1_A) = 1_A$$

and it follows that  $\sum \varepsilon(e^1)e^2 = 1_A$ .

$\varepsilon : A \rightarrow B$  is left  $B$ -linear, and  $\phi$  is a natural transformation, so we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_B(A, A) & \xrightarrow{\phi_A^{-1}} & A \otimes_B A \\ \varepsilon \circ \bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow I_A \otimes_B \varepsilon \\ \text{Hom}_B(A, B) & \xrightarrow{\phi_B^{-1}} & A \otimes_B B \end{array}$$

Applying the diagram to  $I_A = \varphi_1$ , we find that  $\sum e^1 \varepsilon(e^2) = 1_A$ . We conclude that

$$\sum \varepsilon(e^1) e^2 = \sum e^1 \varepsilon(e^2) = 1_A$$

and, using the fact that  $\Delta$  is an  $A$ -bimodule map, we see that  $\varepsilon$  is a counit.

2)  $\Rightarrow$  1). Assume  $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes_B A$  and  $\varepsilon : A \rightarrow B$  satisfy the conditions of the second statement in the Theorem, and write  $\Delta(1_A) = e = \sum e^1 \otimes_B e^2 \in A \otimes_B A$ .  $e$  is  $A$ -central since  $\Delta$  is an  $A$ -bimodule map. We will construct two natural transformations

$$G \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \xleftarrow{\psi} \end{array} T,$$

and prove that they are each others inverses. For  $N \in {}_B\mathcal{M}$ , we define

$$A \otimes_B N \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi_N} \\ \xleftarrow{\psi_N} \end{array} \text{Hom}_B(A, N),$$

$$\phi_N(a \otimes_B n)(b) = \varepsilon(ba)n, \quad \psi_N(\chi) = \sum e^1 \otimes_B \chi(e^2),$$

for all  $\chi \in \text{Hom}_B(A, N)$ ,  $a, b \in A$ , and  $n \in N$ . We leave it as an exercise to the reader to show that  $\phi_N$  and  $\psi_N$  are well-defined, and that  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are natural transformations. Let us show that  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are each others inverses. Applying  $m_A \circ (\varepsilon \otimes_B I_A) \circ (I_A \otimes \chi)$  to the identity

$$\sum ae^1 \otimes_B e^2 = \sum e^1 \otimes_B e^2 a$$

we obtain, using the fact that  $\varepsilon$  is a counit,

$$\sum \varepsilon(ae^1) \chi(e^2) = \sum \varepsilon(e^1) \chi(e^2 a) = \sum \chi(\varepsilon(e^1) e^2 a) = \chi(a) \quad (12)$$

for all  $a \in A$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_N(\psi_N(\chi))(a) &= \sum \phi_N(e^1 \otimes_B \chi(e^2))(a) \\ &= \sum \varepsilon(ae^1) \chi(e^2) \\ (12) \quad &= \chi(a) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_N(\phi_N(a \otimes_B n)) &= \sum e^1 \otimes_B \psi_N(a \otimes_B n)(e^2) \\ &= \sum e^1 \otimes_B \varepsilon(e^2 a) n \\ (e \text{ is } A\text{-central}) &= \sum ae^1 \otimes_B \varepsilon(e^2) n \\ (\varepsilon \text{ is a counit}) &= a \otimes_B n \end{aligned}$$

proving that  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are each others inverses. □

**Remark 2.5** Let  $B$  be a ring. A  $B$ -coring is (cf. [29], [49]) a triple  $(U, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ , where  $U$  is a  $B$ -bimodule,  $\Delta : U \rightarrow U \otimes_B U$ ,  $\varepsilon : U \rightarrow B$  are  $B$ -bimodule maps such that  $\Delta$  is coassociative and  $\varepsilon$  is a counit for  $\Delta$ . Using this concept, the above theorem can be restated as follows: a ring extension  $B \subset A$  is Frobenius if and only if  $A$  has a  $B$ -coring structure such that the comultiplication  $\Delta$  is an  $A$ -bimodule map.

For  $k$  a field, the equivalence 1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  2) in the next Corollary has been proved recently by Abrams (see [4, Theorem 2.1]).

**Corollary 2.6** *For an algebra  $A$  over a commutative ring  $k$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- 1)  $A$  is a Frobenius algebra;
- 2) there exist a coalgebra structure  $(A, \Delta_A, \varepsilon_A)$  on  $A$  such that the comultiplication  $\Delta_A : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$  is an  $A$ -bimodule map;
- 3) there exist  $e = \sum e^1 \otimes e^2 \in A \otimes A$  and  $\varepsilon \in A^*$  such that  $e$  is  $A$ -central and the normalizing Frobenius condition

$$\sum \varepsilon(e^1) e^2 = \sum e^1 \varepsilon(e^2) = 1_A \quad (13)$$

is satisfied.  $(e, \varepsilon)$  is called a Frobenius pair.

*Proof.* 1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  2) follows Theorem 2.4, if we take into account that a bimodule map  $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$  is coassociative (Proposition 2.1).

2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  3): observe that an  $A$ -bimodule map  $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$  is completely determined by  $e = \Delta(1_A)$ , and that there is a bijective correspondence between the set of all  $A$ -central elements and the set of all  $A$ -bimodule maps  $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ , and use the second statement in Proposition 2.1. It is easy to see that the counit property is satisfied if and only if (13) holds.  $\square$

Let  $A$  be a Frobenius algebra over a field  $k$ . In [3],  $\omega_A = (m_A \circ \Delta)(1_A) \in A$  is called the *characteristic element* of  $A$ .  $\omega_A$  generalizes the classical Euler class  $e(X)$  of a connected orientated compact manifold  $X$ . A commutative Frobenius algebra is semisimple if and only if its characteristic element  $\omega_A$  is invertible in  $A$  (see [3, Theorem 3.4]).

Let  $B \subset A$  be an arbitrary Frobenius extension, and let  $\Delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  be as in Theorem 2.4. We call  $\omega_{A/B} = (m_A \circ \Delta)(1_A) \in A$  the *characteristic element* of the extension  $B \subset A$ . Using this element, we give a criterion for the separability of a Frobenius extension (recall from Remark 1.1 that any separable algebra over a field  $k$  is semisimple).

**Proposition 2.7** *Let  $B \subset A$  be an arbitrary Frobenius extension such that the characteristic element  $\omega_{A/B}$  is a unit of  $A$ . Then  $B \subset A$  is a separable extension.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Delta(1_A) = \sum e^1 \otimes_B e^2$ . Then

$$\sum a e^1 \otimes_B e^2 = \sum e^1 \otimes_B e^2 a$$

for all  $a \in A$ . Hence,  $\omega_{A/B} \in Z(A)$ , the center of  $A$ . It follows that its inverse  $\omega_{A/B}^{-1}$  is also an element in  $Z(A)$ . Now,

$$R := \omega_{A/B}^{-1} \sum e^1 \otimes_B e^2$$

is a separability idempotent, i.e.  $A/B$  is a separable extension.  $\square$

Let  $C$  be a projective coalgebra. In [14, Corollary 2.6] it was proved that the forgetful functor  $F : {}^C\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}_k\mathcal{M}$  is Frobenius if and only if  $C$  is finitely generated over  $k$  and the dual algebra  $C^*$  is a Frobenius algebra. We will now give the coalgebra version of Corollary 2.6.

**Theorem 2.8** *For a projective  $k$ -coalgebra  $C$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- 1) the forgetful functor  $F : {}^C\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}_k\mathcal{M}$  is Frobenius;
- 2)  $C$  is finitely generated and there exists an associative and unitary algebra structure  $(C, m_C, 1_C)$  on  $C$  such that the multiplication map  $m_C : C \otimes C \rightarrow C$  is a  $C$ -bicomodule map.

*Proof.* If  $F : {}^C\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}_k\mathcal{M}$  is Frobenius then  $C$  is finitely generated (see [14, Corollary 2.6]). The rest of the proof follows from Theorem 2.4, using the fact that the categories  ${}^C\mathcal{M}$  and  ${}_{C^*}\mathcal{M}$  are isomorphic if  $C$  is a finitely generated projective coalgebra (see [1]).  $\square$

**Remarks 2.9** It seems natural to call a  $k$ -coalgebra  $C$  co-Frobenius if and only if the forgetful functor  $F : {}^C\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}_k\mathcal{M}$  is Frobenius. Let us point out that a different definition appears in the literature (see [35]):  $C$  is called right co-Frobenius if there exists a right  $C^*$ -monomorphism from  $C$  to  $C^*$ . If  $C$  is projective, and the forgetful functor is Frobenius, then  $C$  is right c-Frobenius (see [14, Corollary 2.6]).

2) In Proposition 3.11, we will present a necessary and sufficient condition for the forgetful functor to be Frobenius, which also holds in the situation where  $C$  is not projective.

### 3 The Frobenius-separability equation

Proposition 2.1 leads us to the following definition

**Definition 3.1** Let  $(A, m_A, 1_A)$  be a  $k$ -algebra and  $R = \sum R^1 \otimes R^2 \in A \otimes A$ .

1)  $R$  is called a solution of the FS-equation if

$$R^{12}R^{23} = R^{23}R^{13} = R^{13}R^{12} \quad (14)$$

in  $A \otimes A \otimes A$ .

2)  $R$  is called a solution of the S-equation if  $R$  is a solution of the FS-equation and the the normalizing separability separability condition holds:

$$\sum R^1 R^2 = 1_A \quad (15)$$

3)  $(R, \varepsilon)$  is called a solution of the F-equation if  $R$  is a solution of the FS-equation, and  $\varepsilon \in A^*$  is such that the normalizing Frobenius condition holds:

$$\sum \varepsilon(R^1) R^2 = \sum R^1 \varepsilon(R^2) = 1_A \quad (16)$$

4) Two solutions of the FS-equation are called equivalent if there exists an invertible element  $u \in A$  such that  $S = (u \otimes u)R(u^{-1} \otimes u^{-1})$ .

**Remarks 3.2** 1) The FS-equation appeared first in [9, Lemma 3.6]. If  $R$  is a solution of the FS-equation then  $R$  is also a solution of the braid equation

$$R^{12}R^{23}R^{12} = R^{23}R^{12}R^{23} \quad (17)$$

2) In many applications, the algebra  $A$  is of the form  $A = \text{End}_k(M)$ , where  $M$  is a (projective)  $k$ -module. Then we can view  $R$  as an element of  $\text{End}_k(M \otimes M)$ , using the embedding  $\text{End}_k(M) \otimes \text{End}_k(M) \subseteq \text{End}_k(M \otimes M)$ . (14) can then be viewed as an equation in  $\text{End}_k(M \otimes M \otimes M)$ . This is what we will do in Proposition 3.3 and in most of the examples in this Section. If  $M$  is finitely generated and projective, then

we can identify  $\text{End}_k(M) \otimes \text{End}_k(M)$  and  $\text{End}_k(M \otimes M)$ . In this situation, the braid equation (17) can be transformed into the quantum Yang-Baxter equation

$$R^{12}R^{13}R^{23} = R^{23}R^{13}R^{12} \quad (18)$$

More precisely,  $R$  is a solution of (17) if and only if  $\tau \circ R$  is a solution of (18) if and only if  $R \circ \tau$  is a solution of (18) (see [40, Remark 10.1.2]).

The FS-equation can be rewritten as a matrix equation. Let  $M$  be a free module with basis  $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$ . Using the notation introduced in Section 1.2, we can represent a linear map  $R \in \text{End}_k(M \otimes M)$  by its matrix  $X$ , with entries  $x_{uv}^{ij} \in k$ , with  $i, j, u, v \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  (see (8) and (9)). It is then straightforward to compute

$$R^{12}R^{23}(m_u \otimes m_v \otimes m_w) = \sum_{i,j,l} \left( \sum_k x_{uk}^{ij} x_{vw}^{kl} \right) m_i \otimes m_j \otimes m_l \quad (19)$$

$$R^{23}R^{13}(m_u \otimes m_v \otimes m_w) = \sum_{i,j,l} \left( \sum_k x_{vk}^{jl} x_{uw}^{ik} \right) m_i \otimes m_j \otimes m_l \quad (20)$$

$$R^{13}R^{12}(m_u \otimes m_v \otimes m_w) = \sum_{i,j,l} \left( \sum_k x_{kw}^{il} x_{uv}^{kj} \right) m_i \otimes m_j \otimes m_l \quad (21)$$

**Proposition 3.3** *Let  $M$  be a free  $k$ -module with basis  $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$ , and let  $R \in \text{End}_k(M \otimes M)$  be given by (8).*

1)  *$R$  is a solution of the FS-equation if and only if*

$$\sum_k x_{uk}^{ij} x_{vw}^{kl} = \sum_k x_{vk}^{jl} x_{uw}^{ik} = \sum_k x_{kw}^{il} x_{uv}^{kj} \quad (22)$$

*for all  $i, j, l, u, v, w \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .*

2)  *$R$  satisfies (15) if and only if*

$$\sum_k x_{ik}^{kj} = \delta_i^j \quad (23)$$

*for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .*

3) *Let  $\varepsilon$  be the trace map. Then  $(R, \varepsilon)$  satisfies (16) if and only if*

$$\sum_k x_{ki}^{kj} = \sum_k x_{ik}^{jk} = \delta_i^j \quad (24)$$

*for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .*

*Proof.* 1) follows immediately from (19-21). 2) and 3) follow from (9), using the multiplication rule

$$e_j^i e_l^k = \delta_l^i e_j^k$$

and the formula for the trace

$$\varepsilon(e_j^i) = \delta_j^i$$

□

As a consequence of Proposition 3.3, we can show that  $R \in \text{End}_k(M \otimes M)$  is a solution of the equation  $R^{12}R^{23} = R^{13}R^{12}$  if and only if a certain multiplication on  $M \otimes M$  is associative.

**Corollary 3.4** Let  $M$  be a free  $k$ -module with basis  $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$ , and  $R \in \text{End}_k(M \otimes M)$ , given by (8). Then  $R$  is a solution of the equation  $R^{12}R^{23} = R^{13}R^{12}$  if and only if the multiplication on  $M \otimes M$  given by

$$(m_k \otimes m_l) \cdot (m_r \otimes m_j) := \sum_a x_{jl}^{ak} m_r \otimes m_a$$

$(k, l, r, j = 1, \dots, n)$  is associative.

In this case  $M$  is a left  $M \otimes M$ -module with structure

$$(m_k \otimes m_l) \bullet m_j := \sum_a x_{jl}^{ak} m_a$$

for all  $k, l, j = 1, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.* Write  $m_{kl} = m_k \otimes m_l$ . Then

$$m_{pq} \cdot (m_{kl} \cdot m_{rj}) = \sum_a x_{jl}^{ak} m_{pq} m_{ra} = \sum_i \left( \sum_a x_{jl}^{ak} x_{aq}^{ip} \right) m_{ri}$$

and

$$(m_{pq} \cdot m_{kl}) \cdot m_{rj} = \sum_a x_{lq}^{ap} m_{ka} m_{rj} = \sum_i \left( \sum_a x_{ja}^{ik} x_{lq}^{ap} \right) m_{ri}$$

such that

$$(m_{pq} \cdot m_{kl}) \cdot m_{rj} - m_{pq} \cdot (m_{kl} \cdot m_{rj}) = \sum_i \left( \sum_a x_{ja}^{ik} x_{lq}^{ap} - x_{jl}^{ak} x_{aq}^{ip} \right) m_{ri}$$

and the right hand side is zero for all indices  $p, q, k, l, r$  and  $j$  if and only if (22) holds, and in Proposition 3.3, we have seen that (22) is equivalent to  $R^{12}R^{23} = R^{13}R^{12}$ .

The last statement follows from

$$(m_{pq} \cdot m_{kl}) \bullet m_j - m_{pq} \bullet (m_{kl} \bullet m_j) = \sum_i \left( \sum_a x_{ja}^{ik} x_{lq}^{ap} - x_{jl}^{ak} x_{aq}^{ip} \right) m_i = 0$$

where we used (22) at the last step. □

**Examples 3.5** 1) The identity  $I_{M \otimes M}$  and the switch map  $\tau_M$  are trivial solutions of the FS-equation in  $\text{End}_k(M \otimes M \otimes M)$ .

2) Let  $A = \mathcal{M}_n(k)$  and  $(e_i^j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  be the usual basis. Then

$$R = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^j \otimes e_j^i$$

is a solution of the  $S$ -equation and  $(R, \text{trace})$  is not a solution of the  $F$ -equation.

3) Let  $R \in A \otimes A$  be a solution of FS-equation and  $u \in A$  invertible. Then

$${}^u R = (u \otimes u) R (u^{-1} \otimes u^{-1}) \tag{25}$$

is also a solution of the FS-equation. Let  $\mathbf{FS}(A)$  be the set of all solutions of the FS-equation, and  $U(A)$  the multiplicative group of invertible elements in  $A$ . Then (25) defines an action of  $U(A)$  on  $\mathbf{FS}(A)$ .

4) If  $a \in A$  is an idempotent, then  $a \otimes a$  is a solution of the FS-equation.

5) Let  $A$  be a  $k$ -algebra, and  $e \in A \otimes A$  an  $A$ -central element. Then for any left  $A$ -module  $M$ , the map  $R = R_e : M \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes M$  given by

$$R(m \otimes n) = \sum e^1 \cdot m \otimes e^2 \cdot n \quad (26)$$

$(m, n \in M)$  is a solution of the FS-equation in  $\text{End}_k(M \otimes M \otimes M)$ . This is an easy consequence of (10). Moreover, if  $e$  is a separability idempotent (respectively  $(e, \varepsilon)$  is a Frobenius pair), then  $R$  is a solution of the S-equation (respectively a solution of the F-equation).

6) Let  $G$  be a finite group, and  $A = kG$ . Then  $e = \sum_{g \in G} g \otimes g^{-1}$  is an  $A$ -central element and  $(e, p_1)$  is a Frobenius pair ( $p_1 : kG \rightarrow k$  is the map defined by  $p_1(g) = \delta_{1,g}$ , for all  $g \in G$ ). Hence,  $kG$  is a Frobenius algebra (this is the Frobenius reciprocity law from group representation theory). Furthermore, if  $(\text{char}(k), |G|) = 1$ , then  $e' = |G|^{-1}e$  is a separability idempotent.

7) Using a computer program, Bogdan Ichim computed for us that  $\mathbf{FS}(\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2))$  (resp.  $\mathbf{FS}(\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{Z}_3))$ ) consists of exactly 38 (resp. 187) solutions of the FS-equation. We will present only two of them. Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic 2 (resp. 3). Then

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{resp. } R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix})$$

are solutions of FS-equation.

8) Let  $M$  be a free  $k$ -module, with basis  $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$ , and let  $R$  be given by

$$R(m_u \otimes m_v) = \sum_j a_u^j m_v \otimes m_j$$

Thus  $x_{uv}^{ij} = \delta_v^i a_u^j$ , with notation as in (8). An immediate verification shows that  $R$  is a solution of the FS-equation. If  $\varepsilon$  is the trace map, then  $(R, \varepsilon)$  is a solution of the F-equation if and only if  $a_i^j = \delta_i^j$ .  $R$  is a solution of the S-equation if and only if  $n$  is invertible in  $k$ , and  $na_i^j = \delta_i^j$ .

If  $H$  is a finite dimensional unimodular involutory Hopf algebra, and  $t$  is a two-sided integral in  $H$ , then  $R = \sum t_{(1)} \otimes S(t_{(2)})$  is a solution of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation (cf. [33, Theorem 8.3.3]). In our next Proposition, we will show that, for an arbitrary Hopf algebra  $H$ ,  $R$  is a solution of the FS-equation and the braid equation.

**Proposition 3.6** *Let  $H$  be a Hopf algebra over a commutative ring  $k$ , and  $t \in H$  a left integral. Then  $R = \sum t_{(1)} \otimes S(t_{(2)}) \in H \otimes H$  is  $H$ -central, and therefore a solution of the FS-equation and the braid equation.*

*Proof.* For all  $h \in H$ , we have that  $ht = \varepsilon(h)t$  and, subsequently,

$$\sum h_{(1)} t \otimes h_{(2)} = t \otimes h$$

$$\sum h_{(1)}t_{(1)} \otimes S(h_{(2)}t_{(2)}) \otimes h_{(3)} = \sum t_{(1)} \otimes S(t_{(2)}) \otimes h$$

Multiplying the second and the third factor, we obtain

$$\sum ht_{(1)} \otimes S(t_{(2)}) = \sum t_{(1)} \otimes S(t_{(2)})h$$

proving that  $R$  is  $H$ -central.  $\square$

**Remarks 3.7** 1) If  $\varepsilon(t) = 1$ , then  $R$  is a separability idempotent and  $H$  is separable over  $k$ , and, using Remark 1.1, we recover Maschke's Theorem for Hopf algebras (see [1]).

2) If  $t$  is a right integral, then a similar argument shows that  $\sum S(t_{(1)}) \otimes t_{(2)}$  is an  $H$ -central element.

Let  $H$  be a Hopf algebra and  $\sigma : H \otimes H \rightarrow k$  be a normalized Sweedler 2-cocycle, i.e.

$$\sigma(h \otimes 1_H) = \sigma(1_H \otimes h) = \varepsilon(h)$$

$$\sum \sigma(k_{(1)} \otimes l_{(1)})\sigma(h \otimes k_{(2)}l_{(2)}) = \sum \sigma(h_{(1)} \otimes k_{(1)})\sigma(h_{(2)}k_{(2)} \otimes l) \quad (27)$$

for all  $h, k, l \in H$ . The crossed product algebra  $H_\sigma$  is equal to  $H$  as  $k$ -module and the (associative) multiplication is given by

$$g \cdot h = \sum \sigma(g_{(1)} \otimes h_{(1)})g_{(2)}h_{(2)}$$

for all  $g, h \in H_\sigma = H$ .

**Proposition 3.8** Let  $H$  be a cocommutative Hopf algebra over a commutative ring  $k$ ,  $t \in H$  a right integral, and  $\sigma : H \otimes H \rightarrow k$  a normalized convolution invertible Sweedler 2-cocycle. Then

$$R_\sigma = \sum \sigma^{-1}(S(t_{(2)}) \otimes t_{(3)})S(t_{(1)}) \otimes t_{(4)} \in H_\sigma \otimes H_\sigma \quad (28)$$

is  $H_\sigma$ -central, and a solution of the FS-equation and the braid equation. Consequently, if  $H$  is a separable Hopf algebra, then  $H_\sigma$  is also a separable algebra.

*Proof.* The method of proof is the same as in Proposition 3.6, but the situation is more complicated. For all  $h \in H$ , we have  $th = \varepsilon(h)t$ , and

$$h \otimes t = \sum h_{(1)} \otimes th_{(2)}$$

and

$$\sum h \otimes S(t_{(1)}) \otimes S(t_{(2)}) \otimes t_{(3)} \otimes t_{(4)} = \sum h_{(1)} \otimes S(h_{(2)})S(t_{(1)}) \otimes S(h_{(3)})S(t_{(2)}) \otimes t_{(3)}h_{(4)} \otimes t_{(4)}h_{(5)}$$

We now compute easily that

$$\begin{aligned} h \cdot R_\sigma &= \sum \sigma^{-1}(S(t_{(2)}) \otimes t_{(3)})h \cdot S(t_{(1)}) \otimes t_{(4)} \\ &= \sum \sigma^{-1}(S(h_{(3)})S(t_{(2)} \otimes t_{(3)}h_{(4)})h_{(1)} \cdot (S(h_{(2)})S(t_{(1)})) \otimes t_{(4)}h_{(5)} \\ &= \sum \sigma^{-1}(S(h_{(3)})S(t_{(2)} \otimes t_{(3)}h_{(4)})\sigma((h_{(1)})_{(1)} \\ &\quad \otimes S(h_{(2)})_{(1)}S(t_{(1)})_{(1)})(h_{(1)})_{(2)}S(h_{(2)})_{(2)}S(t_{(1)})_{(2)} \otimes t_{(4)}h_{(5)} \\ &= \sum \sigma^{-1}(S(h_{(3)})S(t_{(3)} \otimes t_{(4)}h_{(4)})\sigma(h_{(1)} \otimes S(h_{(2)}S(t_{(2)}))S(t_{(1)}) \otimes t_{(5)}h_{(5)} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} R_\sigma \cdot h &= \sum \sigma^{-1}(S(t_{(2)}) \otimes t_{(3)}) S(t_{(1)}) \otimes t_{(4)} \cdot h \\ &= \sum \sigma^{-1}(S(t_{(2)}) \otimes t_{(3)}) \sigma(t_{(4)} \otimes h_{(1)}) S(t_{(1)}) \otimes t_{(5)} h_{(2)} \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove that  $R_\sigma$  is  $H_\sigma$ -central, it suffices to show that, for all  $f, g \in H$ :

$$\sum \sigma^{-1}(S(h_{(3)}) S(g_{(2)}) \otimes g_{(3)} h_{(4)}) \sigma(h_{(1)} \otimes S(h_{(2)}) S(g_{(1)})) = \sum \sigma^{-1}(S(g_{(1)}) \otimes g_{(2)}) \sigma(g_{(3)} \otimes h) \quad (29)$$

So far, we have not used the cocommutativity of  $H$ . If  $H$  is cocommutative, then we can omit the Sweedler indices, since they contain no information. Hence we can write

$$\Delta(h) = \sum h \otimes h$$

The cocycle relation (27) can then be rewritten as

$$\sum \sigma(h \otimes kl) = \sum \sigma^{-1}(k \otimes l) \sigma(h \otimes k) \sigma(kh \otimes l) \quad (30)$$

$$\sum \sigma(hk \otimes l) = \sum \sigma^{-1}(h \otimes k) \sigma(k \otimes l) \sigma(h \otimes kl) \quad (31)$$

Using (30), (31) and the fact that  $\sigma$  is normalized, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum \sigma^{-1}(S(h) S(g) \otimes gh) \sigma(h \otimes S(h) S(g)) \\ &= \sum \sigma(S(h) \otimes S(g)) \sigma^{-1}(S(g) \otimes gh) \sigma^{-1}(S(h) \otimes S(g) gh) \\ &\quad \sigma^{-1}(S(h) \otimes S(g)) \sigma(h \otimes S(h)) \sigma(h S(h) \otimes g) \\ &= \sum \sigma(g \otimes h) \sigma^{-1}(S(g) \otimes g) \sigma^{-1}(S(g) g \otimes h) \\ &= \sum \sigma^{-1}(S(g) \otimes g) \sigma(g \otimes h) \end{aligned}$$

proving (29). We also used that

$$\sum \sigma(h \otimes S(h)) = \sum \sigma(S(h) \otimes h)$$

which follows from the cocycle condition and the fact that  $\sigma$  is normalized. Finally, if  $H$  is separable, then we can find a right integral  $t$  such that  $\varepsilon(t) = 1$ , and we easily see that  $m_{H_\sigma}(R_\sigma) = 1$ , proving that  $R_\sigma$  is a solution of the  $S$ -equation.  $\square$

In [22] solutions of the braid equation are constructed starting from 1-cocycles on a group  $G$ . The interesting point in this construction is that, at set theory level, any “nondegenerate symmetric” solution of the braid equation arises in this way (see [22, Theorem 2.9]). Now, taking  $G$  a finite group and  $H = kG$  in Proposition 3.8, we find a large class of solutions to the braid equation, arising from 2-cocycles  $\sigma : G \times G \rightarrow k^*$ . These solutions  $R$  can be described using a family of scalars  $(x_{uv}^{ij})$ , as in Proposition 3.3, where the indices now run through  $G$ . Let  $n = |G|$ , and write  $\mathcal{M}_n(k) \cong \mathcal{M}_G(k)$ , with entries indexed by  $G \times G$ .

**Corollary 3.9** *Let  $G$  be a finite group of order  $n$ , and  $\sigma : G \times G \rightarrow k^*$  a normalized 2-cocycle. Then  $R_\sigma = (x_{uv}^{ij})_{i,j,u,v \in G}$  given by*

$$x_{uv}^{ij} = \delta_{j, ui^{-1}v} \sigma^{-1}(iu^{-1}, ui^{-1}) \sigma(iu^{-1}, u) \sigma(ui^{-1}, v) \quad (32)$$

*( $i, j, u, v \in G$ ) is a solution of the FS-equation. If  $n$  is invertible in  $k$ , then  $n^{-1}R$  is a solution of the  $S$ -equation.*

*Proof.* The twisted group algebra  $k_\sigma G$  is the  $k$ -module with basis  $G$ , and multiplication given by  $g \cdot h = \sigma(g, h)gh$ , for any  $g, h \in G$ .  $t = \sum_{g \in G} g$  is a left integral in  $kG$  and the element  $R_\sigma$  defined in (28) takes the form

$$R_\sigma = \sum_{g \in G} \sigma^{-1}(g^{-1}, g)g^{-1} \otimes g$$

Using the multiplication rule on  $k_\sigma G$ , we find that the map

$$\tilde{R}_\sigma : k_\sigma G \otimes k_\sigma G \rightarrow k_\sigma G \otimes k_\sigma G, \quad \tilde{R}_\sigma(u \otimes v) = R_\sigma \cdot (u \otimes v)$$

is given by

$$\tilde{R}_\sigma(u \otimes v) = \sum_{i \in G} \sigma^{-1}(iu^{-1}, ui^{-1})\sigma(iu^{-1}, u)\sigma(ui^{-1}, v) i \otimes ui^{-1}v$$

If we write

$$\tilde{R}_\sigma(u \otimes v) = \sum_{i, j \in G} x_{uv}^{ij} i \otimes j$$

then  $x_{uv}^{ij}$  is given by (32). □

We will now present a coalgebra version of Example 3.5, 3). First we adapt an old definition of Larson ([34]).

**Definition 3.10** *Let  $C$  be a  $k$ -coalgebra. A map  $\sigma : C \otimes C \rightarrow k$  is called an FS-map if*

$$\sum \sigma(c \otimes d_{(1)})d_{(2)} = \sum \sigma(c_{(2)} \otimes d)c_{(1)}. \quad (33)$$

*If, in addition,  $\sigma$  satisfies the normalizing condition*

$$\sum \sigma(c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}) = \varepsilon(c) \quad (34)$$

*then  $\sigma$  is called a coseparability idempotent.*

*If there exists an  $f \in C$  such that the FS-map  $\sigma$  satisfies the normalizing condition*

$$\sigma(f \otimes c) = \sigma(c \otimes f) = \varepsilon(c) \quad (35)$$

*for all  $c \in C$ , then we call  $(\sigma, f)$  an F-map.*

**Proposition 3.11** *Let  $C$  be a coalgebra over a commutative ring  $k$ .*

- 1)  $C$  is coseparable if and only if there exists a coseparability idempotent  $\sigma$ ;*
- 2) The corestriction of scalars (or forgetful) functor  $\mathcal{M}^C \rightarrow {}_k\mathcal{M}$  is Frobenius if and only if there exists an F-map  $(\sigma, f)$ .*

*Proof.* 1) is well-known, see [34]. To prove 2), we recall first that  $G = C \otimes \cdot$  is a right adjoint of the forgetful functor  $F : \mathcal{C} = {}^C\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} = {}_k\mathcal{M}$ .  $G$  is also a left adjoint of  $F$  if there exists natural transformations

$$\theta : 1_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow FG \text{ and } \nu : GF \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{C}}$$

such that

$$F(\nu_M) \circ \theta_{F(M)} = I_M \quad (36)$$

$$\nu_{G(N)} \circ G(\theta_N) = I_N \quad (37)$$

for all  $M \in {}^C\mathcal{M}$ ,  $N \in \mathcal{M}$ . In [15], we have seen that there is a one-to-one correspondence between natural transformations  $\nu : GF \rightarrow 1_C$  and FS-maps (see [15, Theorem 2.3, Proposition 2.5 and Example 2.11]). Given  $\nu$ , the corresponding FS-map is  $\sigma = \varepsilon_C \circ \nu_C$ . Given  $\sigma$ , we recover  $\nu$  by putting  $\nu_M : GF(M) = C \otimes M \rightarrow M$ ,

$$\nu_M(c \otimes m) = \sum \sigma(c \otimes m_{(-1)})m_{(0)}$$

There is also a one-to-one correspondence between natural transformations  $\theta : 1_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow FG$ , and elements  $f \in C$  (see [14, Theorem 2.4], in the special case  $A = k$ ). The element  $f \in C$  corresponding to  $\theta$  is just  $\theta_k(1)$ . Conversely, given  $f$ , we construct  $\theta$  by setting  $\theta_N : N \rightarrow C \otimes N$ ,

$$\theta_N(n) = c \otimes n$$

for every  $k$ -module  $N$ . (36) is equivalent to

$$\sum \sigma(f \otimes m_{(-1)})m_{(0)} = m \quad (38)$$

for all  $m \in M$ . Taking  $M = C$  and  $m = c$ , we obtain, after applying  $\varepsilon$  to both sides

$$\sigma(f \otimes c) = \varepsilon(c) \quad (39)$$

If (39) holds, then we find for all  $m \in M$

$$\sum \sigma(f \otimes m_{(-1)})m_{(0)} = \sum \varepsilon(m_{(-1)})m_{(0)} = m$$

and (38) holds.

Now (37) is equivalent to

$$\sum \sigma(c \otimes f_{(1)})f_{(2)} \otimes n = c \otimes n \quad (40)$$

for all  $c \in C$  and  $n \in N$ . Taking  $N = k$ ,  $n = 1$ , and applying  $\varepsilon$  to both sides, we find

$$\sigma(c \otimes f) = \varepsilon(c) \quad (41)$$

for all  $c \in C$ . Conversely, if (41) holds, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \sigma(c \otimes f_{(1)})f_{(2)} \otimes n &= \sum \sigma(c_{(2)} \otimes f)c_{(1)} \otimes n \\ \sum \varepsilon(c_{(2)})c_{(1)} \otimes n &= c \otimes n \end{aligned}$$

and (40) follows.  $\square$

**Examples 3.12** 1) The comatrix coalgebra  $\mathcal{M}^n(k)$  is coseparable and

$$\sigma : \mathcal{M}^n(k) \otimes \mathcal{M}^n(k) \rightarrow k, \quad \sigma(c_j^i \otimes c_l^k) = \delta_{kj}\delta_{il}$$

is a coseparability idempotent.

2) Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic zero, and consider the Hopf algebra  $C = k[Y]$  (with  $\Delta(Y) = Y \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes Y$  and  $\varepsilon(Y) = 0$ ). Then the only FS-map  $\sigma : C \otimes C \rightarrow k$  is the zero map. Indeed,  $\sigma$  is completely described by  $\sigma(Y^i \otimes Y^j) = a_{ij}$ . We will show that all  $a_{ij} = 0$ . Using the fact that  $\Delta(Y^n) = \delta(Y)^n$ , we easily find that (33) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} a_{n,m-j} Y^j = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} a_{n-i,m} Y^i \quad (42)$$

for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Taking  $n > m$ , and identifying the coefficients in  $Y^n$ , we find  $a_{n,m} = 0$ . If  $m > n$ , we also find  $a_{n,m} = 0$ , now identifying coefficients in  $Y^m$ . We can now write  $a_{nm} = a_n \delta_{nm}$ . Take  $m > n$ . The righthand side of (42) amounts to zero, while the lefthand side is

$$\binom{m}{m-n} a_n Y^{n-m}$$

It follows that  $a_n = 0$  for all  $n$ , and  $\sigma = 0$ .

**Proposition 3.13** *Let  $C$  be a coalgebra,  $\sigma : C \otimes C \rightarrow k$  an  $FS$ -map and  $M$  a right  $C$ -comodule. Then the map*

$$R_\sigma : M \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes M, \quad R_\sigma(m \otimes n) = \sum \sigma(m_{(1)} \otimes n_{(1)}) m_{(0)} \otimes n_{(0)}$$

*( $m, n \in M$ ) is a solution of the  $FS$ -equation in  $\text{End}_k(M \otimes M \otimes M)$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $R = R_\sigma$  and take  $l, m, n \in M$ .

$$\begin{aligned} R^{12} R^{23}(l \otimes m \otimes n) &= R^{12} \left( \sum \sigma(m_{(1)} \otimes n_{(1)}) l \otimes m_{(0)} \otimes n_{(0)} \right) \\ &= \sum \sigma(m_{(2)} \otimes n_{(1)}) \sigma(l_{(1)} \otimes m_{(1)}) l_{(0)} \otimes m_{(0)} \otimes n_{(0)}. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Applying (33) to (43), with  $m = c$ ,  $n = d$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R^{12} R^{23}(l \otimes m \otimes n) &= \sum \sigma(m_{(1)} \otimes n_{(1)}) \sigma(l_{(1)} \otimes n_{(2)}) l_{(0)} \otimes m_{(0)} \otimes n_{(0)} \\ &= R^{23} \left( \sum \sigma(l_{(1)} \otimes n_{(1)}) l_{(0)} \otimes m \otimes n_{(0)} \right) \\ &= R^{23} R^{13}(l \otimes m \otimes n). \end{aligned}$$

Applying (33) to (43), with  $m = d$ ,  $l = c$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R^{12} R^{23}(l \otimes m \otimes n) &= \sum \sigma(l_{(1)} \otimes n_{(1)}) \sigma(l_{(2)} \otimes m_{(1)}) l_{(0)} \otimes m_{(0)} \otimes n_{(0)} \\ &= R^{13} \left( \sum \sigma(l_{(1)} \otimes n_{(1)}) l_{(0)} \otimes m_{(0)} \otimes n \right) \\ &= R^{13} R^{12}(l \otimes m \otimes n) \end{aligned}$$

proving that  $R$  is a solution of the  $FS$ -equation. □

**Remark 3.14** If  $C$  is finitely generated and projective, and  $A = C^*$  is its dual algebra, then there is a one-to-one correspondence between  $FS$ -maps  $\sigma : C \otimes C \rightarrow k$  and  $A$ -central elements  $e \in A \otimes A$ . The correspondence is given by the formula

$$\sigma(c \otimes d) = \sum \langle c, e^1 \rangle \langle d, e^2 \rangle.$$

In this situation, the map  $R_e$  from Example 3.5 5) is equal to  $R_\sigma$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} R_\sigma(m \otimes n) &= \sum \sigma(m_{(1)} \otimes n_{(1)}) m_{(0)} \otimes n_{(0)} \\ &= \sum \langle m_{(1)}, e^1 \rangle \langle n_{(1)}, e^2 \rangle \\ &= \sum e^1 \cdot m \otimes e^2 \cdot n \\ &= R_e(m \otimes n). \end{aligned}$$

We will now present two more classes of solutions of the  $FS$ -equation.

**Proposition 3.15** Take  $a \in k$ ,  $X = \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and  $\theta : X^3 \rightarrow X$  a map satisfying

$$\theta(u, i, j) = v \iff \theta(v, j, i) = u \quad (44)$$

$$\theta(i, u, j) = v \iff \theta(j, v, i) = u \quad (45)$$

$$\theta(i, j, u) = v \iff \theta(j, i, v) = u \quad (46)$$

$$\theta(i, j, k) = \theta(u, v, w) \iff \theta(j, i, u) = \theta(k, w, v) \quad (47)$$

1)  $R = (x_{ij}^{uv}) \in \mathcal{M}_{n^2}(k)$  given by

$$x_{ij}^{uv} = a\delta_{\theta(i,v,j)}^u \quad (48)$$

is a solution of the  $FS$ -equation.

2) Assume that  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is invertible in  $k$ , and take  $a = n^{-1}$ .

2a)  $R$  is a solution of the  $S$ -equation if and only if

$$\theta(k, k, i) = i$$

for all  $i, k \in X$ .

2b) Let  $\varepsilon$  be the trace map.  $(R, \varepsilon)$  is a solution of the  $F$ -equation if and only if

$$\theta(i, k, k) = i$$

for all  $i, k \in X$ .

*Proof.* 1) We have to verify (22). Using (46), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k x_{uk}^{ij} x_{vw}^{kl} &= a^2 \sum_k \delta_{\theta(u,j,k)}^i \delta_{\theta(v,l,w)}^k \\ &= a^2 \sum_k \delta_{\theta(j,u,i)}^k \delta_{\theta(v,l,w)}^k \\ &= a^2 \sum_k \delta_{\theta(v,l,w)}^{\theta(j,u,i)} \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

In a similar way, we find

$$\sum_k x_{vk}^{jl} x_{uw}^{ik} = a^2 \sum_k \delta_{\theta(l,v,j)}^{\theta(w,i,u)} \quad (50)$$

$$\sum_k x_{kw}^{il} x_{uv}^{kj} = a^2 \sum_k \delta_{\theta(u,j,v)}^{\theta(i,w,l)} \quad (51)$$

Using (47), we find that (49), (50), and (51) are equal, proving (22).

2a) We easily compute that

$$\sum_k x_{ik}^{kj} = n^{-1} \sum_k \delta_{\theta(i,j,k)}^k = n^{-1} \sum_k \delta_{\theta(k,k,i)}^j$$

and it follows from that  $R$  is a solution of the  $S$ -equation if and only if  $\theta(k, k, i) = i$  for all  $i$  and  $k$ .

2b) We compute

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_k x_{ki}^{kj} &= n^{-1} \sum_k \delta_{\theta(k,j,i)}^k = n^{-1} \sum_k \delta_{\theta(i,k,k)}^j \\ \sum_k x_{ik}^{jk} &= n^{-1} \sum_k \delta_{\theta(i,k,k)}^j\end{aligned}$$

and it follows from that  $(R, \varepsilon)$  is a solution of the F-equation if and only if  $\theta(i, k, k) = i$  for all  $i, k$ .  $\square$

**Examples 3.16** 1) Let  $G$  be a finite group. Then the map

$$\theta : G \times G \times G \rightarrow G, \quad \theta(i, j, k) = ij^{-1}k$$

satisfies conditions (44-47).

2) Let  $G$  be a group of order  $n$  acting on  $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and assume that the action of  $G$  is transitive and free, which means that for every  $i, j \in X$ , there exists a unique  $g \in G$  such that  $g(i) = j$ . Then the map  $\theta : X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$  defined by

$$\theta(i, j, k) = g^{-1}(k)$$

where  $g \in G$  is such that  $g(i) = j$ , satisfies conditions (44-47).

**Proposition 3.17** Let  $n$  be a positive integer,  $\phi : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$  a function with  $\phi^2 = \phi$  and  $M$  a free of rank  $n$   $k$ -module with  $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$  a  $k$ -basis. Then

$$R^\phi : M \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes M, \quad R^\phi(m_i \otimes m_j) = \delta_{ij} \sum_{a, b \in \phi^{-1}(i)} m_a \otimes m_b \quad (52)$$

$(i, j = 1, \dots, n)$ , is a solution of the FS-equation.

*Proof.* Write  $R = R^\phi$ , and take  $p, q, r \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}R^{12}R^{23}(m_p \otimes m_q \otimes m_r) &= R^{12} \left( \delta_{qr} \sum_{a, b \in \phi^{-1}(q)} m_p \otimes m_a \otimes m_b \right) \\ &= \delta_{qr} \sum_{a, b \in \phi^{-1}(q)} \delta_{ap} \sum_{c, d \in \phi^{-1}(p)} m_c \otimes m_d \otimes m_b \\ &= \delta_{qr} \delta_{\phi(p)q} \sum_{b \in \phi^{-1}(q)} \sum_{c, d \in \phi^{-1}(p)} m_c \otimes m_d \otimes m_b.\end{aligned}$$

If  $\phi^{-1}(p)$  is nonempty (take  $x \in \phi^{-1}(p)$ ), and  $\phi(p) = q$ , then  $q = \phi(p) = \phi^2(x) = \phi(x) = p$ , so we can write

$$R^{12}R^{23}(m_p \otimes m_q \otimes m_r) = \delta_{pqr\phi(p)} \sum_{a, b, c \in \phi^{-1}(p)} m_a \otimes m_b \otimes m_c.$$

In a similar way, we can compute that

$$\begin{aligned}R^{23}R^{13}(m_p \otimes m_q \otimes m_r) &= R^{13}R^{12}(m_p \otimes m_q \otimes m_r) \\ &= \delta_{pqr\phi(p)} \sum_{a, b, c \in \phi^{-1}(p)} m_a \otimes m_b \otimes m_c.\end{aligned}$$

□

Note that  $R^\phi$  appeared already in [39], where it was proved that  $R^\phi$  is a solution of the integrability condition of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation  $[R^{12}, R^{13} + R^{23}] = 0$ .

Now we will generalize Example 3.5 3) to algebras without a unit. Recall that a left  $A$ -module  $M$  is called unital (or regular, in the terminology of [48]) if the natural map  $A \otimes_A M \rightarrow M$  is an isomorphism.

**Proposition 3.18** *Let  $M$  be a unital  $A$ -module, and  $f : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$  an  $A$ -bimodule map. Then the map  $R : M \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes M$  mapping  $m \otimes a \cdot n$  to  $f(a)(m \otimes n)$  is a solution of the FS-equation.*

*Proof.* Observe first that it suffices to define  $R$  on elements of the form  $m \otimes a \cdot n$ , since the map  $A \otimes_A M \rightarrow M$  is surjective.  $R$  is well-defined since

$$\begin{aligned} R(m \otimes a \cdot (b \cdot n)) &= f(a)(m \otimes b \cdot n) \\ &= f(a)(I_M \otimes b)(m \otimes n) \\ &= f(ab)(m \otimes n) \end{aligned}$$

Write  $f(a) = \sum a^1 \otimes a^2$ , for all  $a \in A$ . Then

$$f(ab) = \sum a^1 \otimes a^2 b = \sum ab^1 \otimes b^2.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} R^{12}(R^{23}(m \otimes bn \otimes ap)) &= R^{12}(\sum m \otimes a^1 bn \otimes a^2 p) \\ &= \sum a^1 b^1 m \otimes b^2 n \otimes a^2 p \\ &= R^{13}(\sum b^1 m \otimes b^2 n \otimes p) \\ &= R^{13}(R^{12}(m \otimes bn \otimes ap)). \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way, we prove that  $R^{12}R^{23} = R^{23}R^{13}$ . □

## 4 The structure of Frobenius algebras and separable algebras

The first statement of Proposition 2.1 can be restated as follows: for a  $k$ -algebra  $A$ , any  $A$ -central element  $R \in A \otimes A$  is a solution of the FS-equation. We will now prove the converse: for a flat  $k$ -algebra  $A$ , any solution of the FS-equation arises in this way.

**Theorem 4.1** *Let  $A$  be a flat  $k$ -algebra and  $R = \sum R^1 \otimes R^2 \in A \otimes A$  a solution of the FS-equation. Then*

- 1) *Then there exists a  $k$ -subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  of  $A$  such that  $R \in \mathcal{A}(R) \otimes \mathcal{A}(R)$  and  $R$  is  $\mathcal{A}(R)$ -central.*
- 2) *If  $R \sim S$  are equivalent solutions of the FS-equation, then  $\mathcal{A}(R) \cong \mathcal{A}(S)$ .*
- 3)  *$(\mathcal{A}(R), R)$  satisfies the following universal property: if  $(B, m_B, 1_B)$  is an algebra, and  $e \in B \otimes B$  is an  $B$ -central element, then any algebra map  $\alpha : B \rightarrow A$  with  $(\alpha \otimes \alpha)(e) = R$  factors through an algebra map  $\tilde{\alpha} : B \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(R)$ .*
- 4) *If  $R \in A \otimes A$  is a solution of the S-equation (resp. the F-equation), then  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  is a separable (resp. Frobenius) algebra.*

*Proof.* 1) Let  $\mathcal{A}(R) = \{a \in A \mid a \cdot R = R \cdot a\}$ . Obviously  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  is a  $k$ -subalgebra of  $A$  and  $1_A \in \mathcal{A}(R)$ . We also claim that  $R \in \mathcal{A}(R) \otimes \mathcal{A}(R)$ . To this end, we observe first that  $\mathcal{A}(R) = \text{Ker}(\varphi)$ , with  $\varphi : A \rightarrow A \otimes A^{\text{op}}$  defined by the formula

$$\varphi(a) = (a \otimes 1_A - 1_A \otimes a)R.$$

$A$  is flat as a  $k$ -algebra, so

$$\mathcal{A}(R) \otimes A = \text{Ker}(\varphi \otimes \text{Id}_A).$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi \otimes I_A)(R) &= \sum r^1 R^1 \otimes R^2 \otimes r^2 - \sum R^1 \otimes R^2 r^1 \otimes r^2 \\ &= R^{13} R^{12} - R^{12} R^{23} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that  $R \in \mathcal{A}(R) \otimes A$ . In a similar way, using that  $R^{12} R^{23} = R^{23} R^{13}$ , we get that  $R \in A \otimes \mathcal{A}(R)$ , and we find that  $R \in \mathcal{A}(R) \otimes \mathcal{A}(R)$ . Hence,  $R$  is an  $\mathcal{A}(R)$ -central element of  $\mathcal{A}(R) \otimes \mathcal{A}(R)$ .

2) Let  $u \in U(A)$  such that  $S = (u \otimes u)R(u^{-1} \otimes u^{-1})$ . Then

$$f_u : \mathcal{A}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(S), \quad f_u(a) = uau^{-1}$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}(R)$  is a well-defined isomorphism of  $k$ -algebras.

3) Let  $b \in B$ . If we apply  $\alpha \otimes \alpha$  to the equality  $(b \otimes 1_B)e = e(1_B \otimes b)$  we find that the image of  $\alpha$  is contained in  $\mathcal{A}(R)$ , and the universal property follows.

4) The first statement follows from the definition of separable algebras and the second one follows from 3) of Corollary 2.6.  $\square$

**Remark 4.2** Let  $A$  be a separable  $k$ -algebra and  $R \in A \otimes A$  a separability idempotent. Then  $R$  and  $S := 0 \otimes 0$  are solutions of the  $FS$ -equation,  $\mathcal{A}(R) = \mathcal{A}(S) = A$  and of course,  $R$  and  $S$  are not equivalent.

We can now prove the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 4.3** *For a finitely generated and projective algebra  $A$  over a commutative ring  $k$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- 1)  $A$  is a Frobenius (resp. separable) algebra.
- 2) There exists an algebra isomorphism

$$A \cong \mathcal{A}(R),$$

where  $R \in \text{End}_k(A) \otimes \text{End}_k(A)$  is a solution of the Frobenius (resp. separability) equation.

*Proof.* 1)  $\Rightarrow$  2) Both Frobenius and separable algebras are characterized by the existence of an  $A$ -central element with some normalizing properties. Let  $e = \sum e^1 \otimes e^2 \in A \otimes A$  be such an  $A$ -central element. Then the map

$$R = R_e : A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A, \quad R(a \otimes b) = \sum e^1 a \otimes e^2 b$$

( $a, b \in A$ ), is a solution to the  $FS$ -equation. Here we view  $R_e \in \text{End}_k(A \otimes A) \cong \text{End}_k(A) \otimes \text{End}_k(A)$  ( $A$  is finitely generated and projective over  $k$ ). Consequently, we can construct the algebra  $\mathcal{A}(R) \subseteq \text{End}_k(A)$ . We will prove that  $A$  and  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  are isomorphic when  $A$  is a Frobenius algebra, or a separable algebra.

First we consider the injection  $i : A \rightarrow \text{End}_k(A)$ , with  $i(a)(b) = ab$ , for  $a, b \in A$ . Then image of  $i$  is included in  $\mathcal{A}(R)$ . Indeed,

$$\mathcal{A}(R) = \{f \in \text{End}_k(A) \mid (f \otimes I_A) \circ R = R \circ (I_A \otimes f)\}$$

Using the fact that  $e$  is an  $A$ -central element, it follows easily that  $(i(a) \otimes I_A) \circ R = R \circ (I_A \otimes i(a))$ , for all  $a \in A$ , proving that  $\text{Im}(i) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(R)$ .

If  $f \in \mathcal{A}(R)$ , then  $(f \otimes I_A) \circ R = R \circ (I_A \otimes f)$ , and, evaluating this equality at  $1_A \otimes a$ , we find

$$\sum f(e^1) \otimes e^2 a = \sum e^1 \otimes e^2 f(a) \quad (53)$$

Now assume that  $A$  is a Frobenius algebra. Then there exists  $\varepsilon : A \rightarrow k$  such that  $\sum \varepsilon(e^1) e^2 = \sum e^1 \varepsilon(e^2) = 1_A$ . Applying  $\varepsilon \otimes I_A$  to (53) we obtain that

$$f(a) = (\sum \varepsilon(f(e^1)) e^2) a$$

for all  $a \in A$ . Thus,  $f = i(\sum \varepsilon(f(e^1)) e^2)$ . This proves that  $\text{Im}(i) = \mathcal{A}(R)$ , and the corestriction of  $i$  to  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  is an isomorphism of algebras.

If  $A$  is separable, then  $\sum e^1 e^2 = 1_A$ . Applying  $m_A$  to (53) we find

$$f(a) = (\sum f(e^1) e^2) a$$

for all  $a \in A$ . Consequently  $f = i(\sum f(e^1) e^2)$ , proving again that  $A$  and  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  are isomorphic.

2)  $\Rightarrow$  1) This is the last statement of Theorem 4.1. □

If  $A$  is free of finite rank  $n$  as a  $k$ -module, then we can describe the algebra  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  using generators and relations. Let  $M$  be free as a  $k$ -module, with basis  $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$ . In Section 1.2, we have seen that an endomorphism  $R \in \text{End}_k(M \otimes M)$  is determined by a matrix (see (8) and (9))  $R = (x_{uv}^{ij})_{i,j,u,v=1,n} \in \mathcal{M}_{n^2}(k)$ . Suppose that  $R$  is a solution of FS-equation. Identifying  $\text{End}_k(M)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_n(k)$ , we will write  $\mathcal{A}(n, R)$  for the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{M}_n(k)$  corresponding to  $\mathcal{A}(R)$ . An easy computation shows that

$$\mathcal{A}(n, R) = \{ (a_j^i) \in \mathcal{M}_n(k) \mid \sum_{\alpha=1}^n a_u^\alpha x_{\alpha v}^{ij} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n x_{uv}^{i\alpha} a_\alpha^j, \quad (\forall) i, j, u, v = 1, \dots, n \} \quad (54)$$

where  $R$  is a matrix satisfying (22).

**Corollary 4.4** *Let  $A$  be a  $k$ -algebra which is free of rank  $n$  over  $k$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- 1)  $A$  is a Frobenius (resp. separable) algebra;
- 2) there exists an algebra isomorphism

$$A \cong \mathcal{A}(n, R),$$

where  $R \in \mathcal{M}_{n^2}(k) \cong \mathcal{M}_n(k) \otimes \mathcal{M}_n(k)$  is a solution of the Frobenius (resp. separability) equation.

**Remarks 4.5** 1) Over a field  $k$  that is algebraically closed or of characteristic zero, the structure of finite dimensional separable  $k$ -algebras is given by the classical Wedderburn-Artin Theorem: a finite dimensional algebra  $A$  is separable if and only if is semisimple, if and only if it is a direct product of matrix algebras.

2) As we have seen in Remark 3.14, the dual  $A^*$  of a separable finitely generated projective algebra is a coseparable finitely generated projective coalgebra. Thus we obtain a structure Theorem for coseparable coalgebras, by using duality arguments.

More precisely, let  $C$  be a coseparable  $k$ -coalgebra which is free of rank  $n$  over  $k$ . Then there exists an FS-map  $\sigma : C \otimes C \rightarrow k$  satisfying the normalizing condition (34). Let  $A = C^*$  and  $A \otimes A \cong C^* \otimes C^*$ . Then  $\sigma$  is an  $A$ -central element of  $A$ , satisfying the normalizing condition (15). From Corollary 4.4, we

see that  $A \cong \mathcal{A}(n, \sigma)$ , and therefore  $C \cong \mathcal{A}(n, \sigma)^*$ . Now  $\mathcal{A}(n, \sigma)^*$  can be described as a quotient of the comatrix coalgebra:  $\mathcal{A}(n, \sigma)^* = \mathcal{M}^n(k)/I$ , where  $I$  is the coideal of  $\mathcal{M}^n(k)$  that annihilates  $\mathcal{A}(n, \sigma)$ ;  $I$  is generated by

$$\{o(i, j, u, v) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n (c_u^\alpha x_{\alpha v}^{ij} - x_{uv}^{i\alpha} c_\alpha^j) \mid i, j, k, l = 1 \dots, m\}$$

Here we use the notation introduced in Section 1.2, and  $x_{jl}^{ik} = \sigma(c_j^i \otimes c_l^k)$ . In a similar way, we can describe finite dimensional coalgebras  $C$  for which the corestriction of scalars functor is Frobenius.

**Examples 4.6** 1) Let  $M$  be a free module of rank  $n$ , and  $R = I_{M \otimes M}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{A}(R) = \{f \in \text{End}_k(M) \mid f \otimes I_M = I_M \otimes f\} = k$$

2) Now let  $R = \tau_M$  be the switch map. For all  $f \in \text{End}_k(M)$  and  $m, n \in M$ , we have

$$((f \otimes I_M) \circ \tau)(m \otimes n) = f(n) \otimes m = (\tau \circ (I_M \otimes f))(m \otimes n)$$

and, consequently,  $\mathcal{A}(\tau) \cong \mathcal{M}_n(k)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(\tau) \cong \mathcal{M}^n(k)$ .

3) Let  $M$  be a finite dimensional vector space over a field  $k$ , and  $f \in \text{End}_k(M)$  an idempotent. Then

$$\mathcal{A}(f \otimes f) = \{g \in \text{End}_k(M) \mid f \circ g = g \circ f = \alpha f \text{ for some } \alpha \in k\}$$

Indeed,  $g \in \mathcal{A}(f \otimes f)$  if and only if  $g \circ f \otimes f = f \otimes f \circ g$ . Multiplying the two factors, we find that  $g \circ f = f \circ g$ . Now  $g \circ f \otimes f = f \otimes g \circ f$  implies that  $g \circ f = \alpha f$  for some  $\alpha \in k$ . The converse is obvious. In particular, assume that  $M$  has dimension 2 and let  $\{m_1, m_2\}$  be a basis of  $M$ . Let  $f$  be the idempotent endomorphism with matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 - rq & q \\ r(1 - rq) & rq \end{pmatrix}$$

Assume first that  $rq \neq 1$  and  $r \neq 0$ , and take  $g \in \text{End}_k(M)$  with matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

$g \in \mathcal{A}(f \otimes f)$  if and only if

$$\alpha = a + br \quad ; \quad c + dr = r(a + br) \quad ; \quad br(1 - rq) = qc$$

The two last equations can be easily solved for  $b$  and  $c$  in terms of  $a$  and  $d$ , and we see that  $\mathcal{A}(f \otimes f)$  has dimension two. We know from the proof of Theorem 4.1 that  $f \in \mathcal{A}(f \otimes f)$ . Another solution of the above system is  $I_M$ , and we find that  $\mathcal{A}(f \otimes f)$  is the two-dimensional subalgebra of  $\text{End}_k(M)$  with basis  $\{f, I_M\}$ . Put  $f' = I_M - f$ . Then  $\{f, f'\}$  is also a basis for  $\mathcal{A}(f \otimes f)$ , and  $\mathcal{A}(f \otimes f) = k \times k$ .  $\mathcal{C}(f \otimes f)$  is the grouplike coalgebra of dimension two. We find the same result if  $rq = 1$  or  $q = 0$ .

4) Let  $R \in \mathcal{M}_{n^2}(k)$  given by equation (48) as in Proposition 3.15. Then the algebra  $\mathcal{A}(n, R)$  is given by

$$\mathcal{A}(n, R) = \{(a_j^i) \in \mathcal{M}_n(k) \mid a_u^{\theta(j,v,i)} = a_{\theta(u,i,v)}^j, \quad (\forall) i, j, u, v \in X\} \quad (55)$$

Proposition 3.15 tells us when this algebra is separable or Frobenius over  $k$ .

Assume now that  $G$  is a finite group with  $|G| = n$  invertible in  $k$  and  $\theta$  is given as in Example 3.16 2). Then the above algebra  $\mathcal{A}(n, R)$  equals

$$\mathcal{A} = \{(a_j^i) \in \mathcal{M}_n(k) \mid a_{g(i)}^i = a_{g(j)}^j, \quad (\forall) i, j \in X \text{ and } g \in G\}$$

Indeed, if  $(a_j^i) \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $(a_j^i) \in \mathcal{A}(n, R)$ , since  $g(\theta(j, v, i)) = u$  implies that  $g(j) = \theta(u, i, v)$ . Conversely, for  $(a_j^i) \in \mathcal{A}(n, R)$ , we choose  $i, j \in X$  and  $g \in G$ . Let  $u = g(i)$  and  $v = g(j)$ . Then  $\theta(j, v, u) = i$ , hence

$$a_{g(i)}^i = a_u^{\theta(j, v, u)} = a_{\theta(u, u, v)}^j = a_v^j = a_{g(j)}^j,$$

showing that  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(n, R)$ . From the fact that  $G$  acts transitively, it follows that a matrix in  $\mathcal{A}(n, R)$  is completely determined by its top row. For every  $g \in G$ , we define  $A_g \in \mathcal{A}(n, R)$  by  $(A_g)_i^1 = \delta_{g(1), i}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}(n, R) = \{A_g \mid g \in G\}$ , and we have an algebra isomorphism

$$f : \mathcal{A}(n, R) \rightarrow kG, \quad f(A_g) = g$$

For example, take the cyclic group of order  $n$ ,  $G = C_n$ .

$$\mathcal{A}(n, R) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \\ x_n & x_1 & \cdots & x_{n-1} \\ x_{n-1} & x_n & \cdots & x_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ x_2 & x_3 & \cdots & x_1 \end{pmatrix} \mid x_1, \dots, x_n \in k \right\} \cong kC_n$$

5) Let  $G$  be a finite group of order  $n$  and  $\sigma : G \times G \rightarrow k^*$  a 2-cocycle. Let  $R_\sigma$  be the solution of the  $FS$ -equation given by (32). We then obtain directly from (54) that  $\mathcal{A}(n, R_\sigma)$  consists of all  $G \times G$ -matrices  $(a_j^i)_{i, j \in G}$  satisfying the relations

$$\begin{aligned} a_u^{jv^{-1}i} \sigma^{-1}(ui^{-1}vj^{-1}, jv^{-1}) \sigma(vj^{-1}, jv^{-1}i) \sigma(jv^{-1}, v) = \\ a_{ui^{-1}v}^j \sigma^{-1}(iu^{-1}, ui^{-1}) \sigma(iu^{-1}, u) \sigma(ui^{-1}, v) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $i, j, u, v \in G$ . This algebra is separable if  $n$  is invertible in  $k$ .

We will now present some new classes of examples, starting from the solution  $R^\phi$  of the  $FS$ -equation discussed in Proposition 3.17. In this case, we find easily that

$$x_{uv}^{ij} = \delta_{uv} \delta_{\phi(i)u} \delta_{\phi(j)v}$$

and, according to (54),  $\mathcal{A}(R^\phi)$  consists of matrices  $(a_j^i)$  satisfying

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^n a_u^\alpha x_{\alpha v}^{ij} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n x_{uv}^{i\alpha} a_\alpha^j$$

or

$$a_u^v \delta_{\phi(i)v} \delta_{\phi(j)v} = \sum_{\alpha \in \phi^{-1}(v)} \delta_{uv} \delta_{\phi(i)u} a_\alpha^j \quad (56)$$

for all  $i, j, v, u = 1, \dots, n$ . The left hand side of (56) is nonzero if and only if  $\phi(i) = \phi(j) = v$ . If  $\phi(i) = \phi(j) = v = u$ , then (56) amounts to

$$a_{\phi(i)}^{\phi(i)} = \sum_{\{\alpha \mid \phi(\alpha) = \phi(i)\}} a_\alpha^i.$$

If  $\phi(i) = \phi(j) = v \neq u$ , then (56) takes the form

$$a_u^{\phi(i)} = 0.$$

Now assume that the left hand side of (56) is zero. If  $\phi(i) \neq \phi(j)$ , then the right hand side of (56) is also zero, except when  $u = v = \phi(i)$ . Then (56) yields

$$\sum_{\{\alpha | \phi(\alpha) = \phi(i)\}} a_{\alpha}^j = 0.$$

If  $\phi(i) = \phi(j) \neq u$ , then (56) reduces to  $0 = 0$ . We summarize our results as follows.

**Proposition 4.7** *Consider an idempotent map  $\phi : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and the corresponding solution  $R^{\phi}$  of the FS-equation. Then  $\mathcal{A}(R^{\phi})$  is the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{M}_n(k)$  consisting of matrices satisfying*

$$a_{\phi(i)}^{\phi(i)} = \sum_{\{\alpha | \phi(\alpha) = \phi(i)\}} a_{\alpha}^i \quad (i = 1, \dots, n) \quad (57)$$

$$a_j^{\phi(i)} = 0 \quad (\phi(i) \neq j) \quad (58)$$

$$0 = \sum_{\{\alpha | \phi(\alpha) = \phi(i)\}} a_{\alpha}^j \quad (\phi(i) \neq \phi(j)) \quad (59)$$

$\mathcal{A}(R^{\phi})$  is a separable  $k$ -algebra if and only if  $(R^{\phi}, \varepsilon = \text{trace})$  is a solution of the F-equation if and only if  $\phi$  is the identity map. In this case,  $\mathcal{A}(R^{\phi})$  is the direct sum of  $n$  copies of  $k$ .

*Proof.* The first part was done above.  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  is separable if and only if (23) holds. This comes down to

$$\delta_{ju} = \sum_{v=1}^n \delta_{uv} \delta_{\phi(u)u} \delta_{\phi(j)v} = \delta_{\phi(u)u} \delta_{\phi(j)u}$$

and this implies that  $\phi(u) = u$  for all  $u$ . (57,58,59) reduce to  $a_j^i = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ , and  $\mathcal{A}(R^I)$  consists of all diagonal matrices.

$(R^{\phi}, \varepsilon = \text{trace})$  is a solution of the F-equation if and only if (24) holds, and a similar computation shows that this also implies that  $\phi$  is the identity.  $\square$

**Examples 4.8** 1) Take  $n = 4$ , and  $\phi$  given by

$$\phi(1) = \phi(2) = 2, \quad \phi(3) = \phi(4) = 4$$

(57,58,59) take the following form

$$\begin{aligned} a_2^2 &= a_1^1 + a_2^1 & a_4^4 &= a_3^3 + a_4^3 \\ a_1^2 &= a_2^2 = a_4^2 = 0 & a_1^4 &= a_2^4 = a_3^4 = 0 \\ a_1^3 &= -a_2^3 & a_3^1 &= -a_4^1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}(4, R^{\phi}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y-x & u & -u \\ 0 & y & 0 & 0 \\ v & -v & z & t-z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t \end{pmatrix} \mid x, y, z, t, u, v \in k \right\}$$

The dual coalgebra can also be described easily. Write  $x_i = c_i^i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 4$ ),  $x_5 = c_3^1$  and  $x_6 = c_1^3$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}(R^{\phi})$  is the six dimensional coalgebra with basis  $\{x_1, \dots, x_6\}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x_1) &= x_1 \otimes x_1 + x_5 \otimes x_6, & \Delta(x_2) &= x_2 \otimes x_2, \\ \Delta(x_3) &= x_3 \otimes x_3 + x_6 \otimes x_5, & \Delta(x_4) &= x_4 \otimes x_4, \\ \Delta(x_5) &= x_1 \otimes x_5 + x_5 \otimes x_3, & \Delta(x_6) &= x_6 \otimes x_1 + x_3 \otimes x_6, \\ \varepsilon(x_i) &= 1 \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, 4), & \varepsilon(x_i) &= 0 \quad (i = 5, 6). \end{aligned}$$

2) Again, take  $n = 4$ , but let  $\phi$  be given by the formula

$$\phi(1) = 1, \phi(2) = \phi(3) = \phi(4) = 2$$

Then (57,58,59) reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} a_2^2 &= a_2^3 + a_3^3 + a_4^3 = a_2^4 + a_3^4 + a_4^4 \\ a_2^1 &= a_3^1 = a_4^1 = a_1^2 = a_3^2 = a_4^2 = a_1^3 = a_1^4 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\mathcal{A}(4, R^\phi) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & u & z & y - z - u \\ 0 & v & y - t - v & t \end{pmatrix} \mid x, y, z, t, u, v \in k \right\}$$

Putting  $c_i^j = x_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 4$ ),  $x_5 = c_2^3$  and  $x_6 = c_2^4$ , we find that  $\mathcal{C}(R^\phi)$  is the six dimensional coalgebra with structure maps

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x_1) &= x_1 \otimes x_1, & \Delta(x_2) &= x_2 \otimes x_2, \\ \Delta(x_3) &= x_3 \otimes x_3 + (x_2 - x_3 - x_5) \otimes (x_2 - x_4 - x_6), \\ \Delta(x_4) &= x_4 \otimes x_4 + (x_2 - x_4 - x_6) \otimes (x_2 - x_3 - x_5), \\ \Delta(x_5) &= x_5 \otimes x_2 + x_3 \otimes x_5 + (x_2 - x_3 - x_5) \otimes x_6, \\ \Delta(x_6) &= x_6 \otimes x_2 + x_4 \otimes x_6 + (x_2 - x_4 - x_6) \otimes x_5, \\ \varepsilon(x_i) &= 1 \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, 4), & \varepsilon(x_i) &= 0 \quad (i = 5, 6). \end{aligned}$$

3) Put  $\phi(i) = 1$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . (57,58,59) reduce to

$$a_i^1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^n a_\alpha^i = a_1^1,$$

for all  $i \neq 1$ .

## 5 The category of FS-objects

Our starting point is the following result, due to Abrams (cf. [4, Theorem 3.3]): over a finite dimensional Frobenius algebra  $A$  the categories  $\mathcal{M}_A$  and  $\mathcal{M}^A$  are isomorphic. In this Section, we will extend this result to weak Frobenius algebras.

We have seen in Corollary 3.4 that the equation  $R^{12}R^{23} = R^{13}R^{12}$  is equivalent to the fact that a certain multiplication on  $M \otimes M$  is associative. We shall now prove that the other equation, namely  $R^{12}R^{23} = R^{23}R^{13}$ , is equivalent to the fact that a certain comultiplication is coassociative.

**Proposition 5.1** *Let  $(A, m_A, 1_A)$  be an algebra,  $R = \sum R^1 \otimes R^2 \in A \otimes A$  and*

$$\delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A, \quad \delta(a) = \sum R^1 \otimes R^2 a$$

*for all  $a \in A$ . The following statements are equivalent*

- 1)  $(A, \delta)$  is a coassociative coalgebra (not necessarily with a counit).
- 2)  $R^{12}R^{23} = R^{23}R^{13}$  in  $A \otimes A \otimes A$ .

In this case any left  $A$ -module  $(M, \cdot)$  has a structure of left comodule over the coalgebra  $(A, \delta)$  via

$$\rho : M \rightarrow A \otimes M, \quad \rho(m) := \sum R^1 \otimes R^2 \cdot m$$

for all  $m \in M$ .

*Proof.* The equivalence of 1) and 2) follows from the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta \otimes I)\delta(a) &= R^{12}R^{23} \cdot (1_A \otimes 1_A \otimes a) \\ (I \otimes \delta)\delta(a) &= R^{23}R^{13} \cdot (1_A \otimes 1_A \otimes a) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a \in A$ . The final statement follows from

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta \otimes I)\rho(m) &= R^{12}R^{23} \cdot (1_A \otimes 1_A \otimes m) \\ (I \otimes \rho)\rho(m) &= R^{23}R^{13} \cdot (1_A \otimes 1_A \otimes m) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $m \in M$ . □

Suppose now that  $(A, m_A, 1_A)$  is an algebra over  $k$  and let  $R \in A \otimes A$  be a  $A$ -central element. Then  $R^{12}R^{23} = R^{23}R^{13}$  in  $A \otimes A \otimes A$ . It follows that  $(A, \Delta_R := \delta^{\text{cop}})$  is also a coassociative coalgebra, where  $\Delta_R(a) = \delta^{\text{cop}}(a) = \sum R^2 a \otimes R^1$ , for all  $a \in A$ . We remark that  $\Delta_R$  is not an algebra map, i.e.  $(A, m_A, \Delta_R)$  is not a bialgebra. Any left  $A$ -module  $(M, \cdot)$  has a structure of right comodule over the coalgebra  $(A, \Delta_R)$  via

$$\rho_R : M \rightarrow M \otimes A, \quad \rho_R(m) := \sum R^2 \cdot m \otimes R^1$$

for all  $m \in M$ . Moreover, for any  $a \in A$  and  $m \in M$  we have that

$$\rho_R(a \cdot m) = \sum a_{(1)} \cdot m \otimes a_{(2)} = \sum m_{<0>} \otimes am_{<1>}.$$

Indeed, from the definition of the coaction on  $M$  and the comultiplication on  $A$ , we have immediately

$$\rho_R(a \cdot m) = \sum R^2 a \cdot m \otimes R^1 = \sum a_{(1)} \cdot m \otimes a_{(2)}$$

On the other hand

$$\sum m_{<0>} \otimes am_{<1>} = \sum R^2 \cdot m \otimes aR^1 = \sum R^2 a \cdot m \otimes R^1$$

where in the last equality we used that  $R$  is a  $A$ -central element. These considerations lead us to the following

**Definition 5.2** Let  $(A, m_A, \Delta_A)$  be at once an algebra and a coalgebra (but not necessarily a bialgebra). An  $FS$ -object over  $A$  is a  $k$ -module  $M$  that is at once a left  $A$ -module and a right  $A$ -comodule such that

$$\rho(a \cdot m) = \sum a_{(1)} \cdot m \otimes a_{(2)} = \sum m_{<0>} \otimes am_{<1>} \quad (60)$$

for all  $a \in A$  and  $m \in M$ .

The category of  $FS$ -objects and  $A$ -linear  $A$ -colinear maps will be denoted by  ${}_A\mathcal{FS}^A$ . This category measures how far  $A$  is from a bialgebra. If  $A$  has not a unit (resp. a counit), then the objects in  ${}_A\mathcal{FS}^A$  will be assumed to be unital (resp. counital).

**Proposition 5.3** *If  $(A, m_A, 1_A, \Delta_A, \varepsilon_A)$  is a bialgebra with unit and counit, then the forgetful functor*

$$F : {}_A\mathcal{FS}^A \rightarrow {}_k\mathcal{M}$$

*is an isomorphism of categories.*

*Proof.* Define  $G : {}_k\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}_A\mathcal{FS}^A$  as follows:  $G(M) = M$  as a  $k$ -module, with trivial  $A$ -action and  $A$ -coaction:

$$\rho(m) = m \otimes 1_A \text{ and } a \cdot m = \varepsilon_A(a)m$$

for all  $a \in A$  and  $m \in M$ . It is clear that  $G(M) \in {}_A\mathcal{FS}^A$ .

Now, assume that  $M$  is an  $FS$ -object over  $A$ . Applying  $I \otimes \varepsilon_A$  to (60), we find that

$$a \cdot m = \sum m_{<0>} \varepsilon_A(a) \varepsilon_A(m_{<1>}) = \varepsilon_A(a)m$$

Taking  $a = 1_A$  in (60), we find

$$\rho(m) = \sum 1_A \cdot m \otimes 1_A = m \otimes 1_A.$$

Hence,  $G$  is an inverse for the forgetful functor  $F : {}_A\mathcal{FS}^A \rightarrow {}_k\mathcal{M}$ . □

**Definition 5.4** *A triple  $(A, m_A, \Delta_A)$  is called a weak Frobenius algebra ( $WF$ -algebra, for short) if  $(A, m_A)$  is an algebra (not necessarily with unit),  $(A, \Delta_A)$  is a coalgebra (not necessarily with counit) and  $(A, m_A, \Delta_A) \in {}_A\mathcal{FS}^A$ , that is*

$$\Delta(ab) = \sum a_{(1)}b \otimes a_{(2)} = \sum b_{(1)} \otimes ab_{(2)}. \quad (61)$$

*for all  $a, b \in A$ .*

**Remarks 5.5** 1) Assume that  $A$  is an  $WF$ -algebra with unit, and write  $\Delta(1_A) = \sum e^2 \otimes e^1$ . From (61), it follows that

$$\Delta(a) = \sum e^2 a \otimes e^1 = \sum e^2 \otimes ae^1 \quad (62)$$

and this implies that  $\Delta^{\text{cop}}(1_A) = \sum e^1 \otimes e^2$  is an  $A$ -central element. Conversely, if  $A$  is an algebra with unit, and  $e = \sum e^1 \otimes e^2$  is an  $A$ -central element, then  $e^{12}e^{23} = e^{23}e^{13}$  (see (10)), and it is easy to prove that this last statement is equivalent to the fact that  $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$  given by  $\Delta(a) = \sum e^2 a \otimes e^1$  is coassociative. Thus  $A$  is a  $WF$ -algebra. We have proved that  $WF$ -algebras with unit correspond to algebras with unit together with an  $A$ -central element.

2) From (61), it follows immediately that  $f := \Delta^{\text{cop}} : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$  is an  $A$ -bimodule map. Conversely, if  $f$  is an  $A$ -bimodule map, then it is easy to prove that  $\Delta = \tau \circ f$  defines a coassociative comultiplication on  $A$ , making  $A$  into a  $WF$ -algebra. Now, using Corollary 2.6, we obtain that a finitely generated projective and unitary  $k$ -algebra  $(A, m_A, 1_A)$  is Frobenius if and only if  $A$  is an unitary and counitary  $WF$ -algebra. Thus, we can view  $WF$ -algebras as a generalization of Frobenius algebras.

**Proposition 5.6** *Let  $(A, m_A, 1_A, \Delta_A)$  be a  $WF$ -algebra with unit. Then the forgetful functor*

$$F : {}_A\mathcal{FS}^A \rightarrow {}_A\mathcal{M}$$

*is an isomorphism of categories.*

*Proof.* We define a functor  $G : {}_A\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}_A\mathcal{FS}^A$  as follows:  $G(M) = M$  as an  $A$ -module, with right  $A$ -coaction given by the formula

$$\rho(m) = \sum e^2 \cdot m \otimes e^1$$

where  $\Delta(1_A) = \sum e^2 \otimes e^1$ .  $\rho$  is a coaction because  $e^{12}e^{23} = e^{23}e^{13}$ , and, using (62), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(a \cdot m) &= \sum e^2 a \cdot m \otimes e^1 = \sum a_{(1)} \cdot m \otimes a_{(2)} \\ &= \sum e^2 \cdot m \otimes a e^1 = \sum m_{<0>} \otimes a m_{<1>} \end{aligned}$$

as needed. Now,  $G$  and  $F$  are each others inverses.  $\square$

Now, we will give the coalgebra version of Proposition 5.6. Consider a  $WF$ -algebra  $(A, m_A, \Delta_A, \varepsilon_A)$ , with a counit  $\varepsilon_A$ , and consider  $\sigma = \varepsilon \circ m_A \circ \tau : A \otimes A \rightarrow k$ , that is,

$$\sigma(c \otimes d) = \varepsilon(dc)$$

for all  $c, d \in A$ . Now

$$\Delta(cd) = \sum c_{(1)}d \otimes c_{(2)} = \sum d_{(1)} \otimes cd_{(2)}$$

so

$$\sum \sigma(d \otimes c_{(1)})c_{(2)} = (\varepsilon \otimes I_C)(\Delta(cd)) = (I_C \otimes \varepsilon)(\Delta(cd)) = \sum \sigma(d_{(2)} \otimes c)d_{(1)}$$

and  $\sigma$  is an  $FS$ -map. Conversely, let  $(A, \Delta_A)$  be a coalgebra with counit, and assume that  $\sigma : A \otimes A \rightarrow k$  is an  $FS$ -map. A straightforward computation shows that the formula

$$c \cdot d = \sum \sigma(d_{(2)} \otimes c)d_{(1)}$$

defines an associative multiplication on  $A$  and that  $(A, \cdot, \Delta_A)$  is a  $WF$ -algebra. Thus,  $WF$ -algebras with counit correspond to coalgebras with counit together with an  $FS$ -map.

**Proposition 5.7** *Let  $(A, m_A, \Delta_A, \varepsilon_A)$  be a  $WF$ -algebra with counit. Then the forgetful functor*

$$F : {}_A\mathcal{FS}^A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^A$$

*is an isomorphism of categories.*

*Proof.* The inverse of  $F$  is the functor  $G : \mathcal{M}^A \rightarrow {}_A\mathcal{FS}^A$  defined as follows:  $G(M) = M$  as a  $A$ -comodule, with  $A$ -action given by

$$a \cdot m = \sum \sigma(m_{<1>} \otimes a)m_{<0>}$$

for all  $a \in A, m \in M$ . Further details are left to the reader.  $\square$

As an immediate consequence of Proposition 5.6 and Proposition 5.7 we obtain the following generalization of Abrams' result [4, Theorem 3.3]

**Corollary 5.8** *Let  $(A, m_A, 1_A, \Delta_A, \varepsilon_A)$  be a  $WF$ -algebra with unit and counit. Then we have an equivalence of categories*

$${}_A\mathcal{FS}^A \cong {}_A\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{M}^A$$

Let us finally show that Proposition 5.6 also holds over  $WF$ -algebras that are unital as modules over themselves. Examples of such algebras are the central separable algebras discussed in [48].

**Proposition 5.9** *Let  $A$  be a  $WF$ -algebra that is unital as a module over itself. We have an equivalence between the categories  ${}_A\mathcal{M}$  and  ${}_A\mathcal{FS}^A$ .*

*Proof.* For a unital  $A$ -module  $M$ , we define  $F(M)$  as the  $A$ -module  $M$  with  $A$ -coaction given by

$$\rho(a \cdot m) = \sum a_{(1)} \cdot m \otimes a_{(2)}$$

It is clear that  $\rho$  defines an  $A$ -coaction. One equality in (60) is obvious, and the other one follows from (61): for all  $a, b \in A$  and  $m \in M$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum (b \cdot m)_{(0)} \otimes a(b \cdot m)_{(1)} &= \sum b_{(1)} \cdot m \otimes ab_{(2)} \\ &= \sum (ab)_{(1)} \cdot m \otimes (ab)_{(2)} \\ &= \rho(a \cdot (b \cdot m)) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $F(M)$  is an  $FS$ -object, and  $F$  defines the desired category equivalence.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement** The second author thanks Lowell Abrams for fruitful discussions. We also are indebted to Bogdan Ichim for writing the computer program for the numerical computations in Example 3.5, and to Mona Stănculescu for her stimulating comments to the early versions of this paper.

## References

- [1] E. Abe, Hopf algebras, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1977.
- [2] L. Abrams, Two-dimensional topological quantum field theories and Frobenius algebras, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **5** (1996), 569-587.
- [3] L. Abrams, The quantum Euler class and the quantum cohomology of the Grassmanians, preprint q-alg/9712025.
- [4] L. Abrams, Modules, comodules and cotensor products over Frobenius algebras, *J. Algebra*, to appear.
- [5] M. Atiyah, An introduction to topological quantum field theories, *Turkish J. Math.* **21** (1997), 1-7.
- [6] R. J. Baxter, Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics, Academic Press, London, 1989.
- [7] M. Beattie, S. Dăscălescu, L. Grünenfelder and C. Năstăsescu, Finiteness conditions, co-Frobenius Hopf algebras and quantum groups, *J. Algebra* **200** (1998), 312-333.
- [8] K.I. Beidar, Y. Fong and A. Stolin, On antipodes and integrals in Hopf algebras over rings and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, *J. Algebra* **194** (1997), 36-52.
- [9] K.I. Beidar, Y. Fong and A. Stolin, On Frobenius algebras and the Yang-Baxter equation, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **349** (1997), 3823-3836.
- [10] A.D. Bell and R. Farnsteiner, On the theory of Frobenius extensions and its application to Lie superalgebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **335** (1993), 407-424.

- [11] T. Brzeziński, Frobenius properties and Maschke-type theorems for entwined modules, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [12] T. Brzeziński, Coalgebra-Galois extensions from the extension theory point of view, to appear in “Hopf algebras and quantum groups”, S. Caenepeel and F. Van Oystaeyen (Eds.), *Lecture Notes Pure Appl. Math.*, Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [13] T. Brzeziński, S. Majid, Coalgebra bundles, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **191** (1998), 467-492.
- [14] S. Caenepeel, G. Militaru and S. Zhu, Doi-Hopf modules, Yetter-Drinfel’d modules and Frobenius type properties, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **349** (1997), 4311-4342.
- [15] S. Caenepeel, Bogdan Ion, G. Militaru and S. Zhu, Separable functors for Doi-Hopf modules. Applications, *Advances Math.* **145** (1999), 239-290.
- [16] F. Castaño Iglesias, J. Gómez Torrecillas and C. Năstăsescu, Frobenius Functors. Applications, *Comm. Algebra*, to appear.
- [17] C. Curtis and I. Reiner, Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras, *Interscience Publishers*, New York, 1962.
- [18] Y. Doi, Homological coalgebra, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **33** (1981), 31-50.
- [19] F. DeMeyer and E. Ingraham, Separable algebras over commutative rings, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **181**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
- [20] V. G. Drinfel’d, Hamiltonian structures on Lie groups, Lie bialgebras, and the geometrical meaning of classical Yang-Baxter equation, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **27** (1983), 68-71.
- [21] B. Dubrovin, Geometry of 2d topological field theory, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1620**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1996, 120-348.
- [22] P. Etingof, T. Schedler, A. Soloviev, Set-theoretical solutions to the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, *Duke Math. J.*, to appear.
- [23] L.D. Faddeev, Quantum completely integrable models in quantum field theory, *Sov. Sci. Rev*, **C1** (1980), 107-155.
- [24] R. Farnsteiner, On Frobenius extensions defined by Hopf algebras, *J. Algebra* **166** (1994), 130-141.
- [25] D. Fischman, S. Montgomery and H.J. Schneider, Frobenius extensions of subalgebras of Hopf algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **349** (1997), 4857-4895.
- [26] J. Hietarinta, Permutation-type solutions to the quantum Yang-Baxter and other n-simplex equations, q-alg/9702006, to appear in *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*
- [27] K. Hirata, K. Sugano, On semisimple and separable extensions over noncommutative rings, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **18** (1966), 360-373.
- [28] A. Joyal, R. Street, An introduction to Tannaka duality and quantum groups, *Lecture Notes Math.* **1488** (1991), 411-492.
- [29] L. Kadison, The Jones polynomial and certain separable Frobenius extensions, *J. Algebra* **186** (1996), 461-475.

- [30] D. M. Kan, Adjoint functors, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **87** (1958), 294-323.
- [31] F. Kasch, Grundlagen einer theory der Frobenius-erweiterungen, *Math. Ann.* **127** (1954), 453-474.
- [32] C. Kassel, Quantum Groups, *Graduate Texts in Math.* **155**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [33] L.A. Lambe, D. Radford, Algebraic aspects of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, *J. Algebra* **54** (1992), 228-288.
- [34] R.G. Larson, Coseparable coalgebras, *J. Pure and Appl. Algebra* **3** (1973), 261-267.
- [35] B.J. Lin, Semiperfect coalgebras, *J. Algebra* **49** (1977), 357-373.
- [36] S. Majid, Foundation of quantum group theory, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [37] C. Menini and C. Năstăsescu, When are induction and coinduction functors isomorphic?, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc.- Simon Stevin* **1** (1994), 521-558.
- [38] G. Militaru, The Hopf modules category and the pentagonal equation, *Comm. Algebra* **10** (1998), 3071-3097.
- [39] G. Militaru, A class of solutions for the integrability condition of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation: a Hopf algebraic approach, *Comm. Algebra* **27** (1999), 2393-2407.
- [40] S. Montgomery, Hopf algebras and their actions on rings, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1993.
- [41] K. Morita, Adjoint pairs of functors and Frobenius extensions, *Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku, Sect. A9* (1965), 40-71.
- [42] T. Nakayama and T. Tsuzuku, On Frobenius extensions I, *Nagoya Math. J.* **17** (1960), 89-110.
- [43] T. Nakayama and T. Tsuzuku, On Frobenius extensions II, *Nagoya Math. J.* **19** (1961), 127-148.
- [44] C. Năstăsescu, M. van den Bergh and F. van Oystaeyen, Separable functors applied to graded rings, *J. Algebra* **123** (1989), 397-413.
- [45] B. Pareigis, When Hopf algebras are Frobenius algebras, *J. Algebra* **18** (1971), 588-596.
- [46] B. Pareigis, Einige Bemerkungen über Frobenius-Erweiterungen, *Math. Ann.* **153** (1964), 1-13.
- [47] N. Yu Reshetikhin, L.A. Takhtadjan and L.D. Faddeev, Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras, *Algebra i Analiz* **1** (1989), 178-206.
- [48] J.L. Taylor, A bigger Brauer group, *Pacific J. Math.* **103** (1982), 163-203.
- [49] Y. Watatani, Index of  $C^*$ -subalgebras, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **83** (1990).